In Ai’s poem “The Man with the Saxophone,” published in 1985, the speaker encounters a man playing a saxophone. Read the poem carefully. Then, in a well-written essay, analyze how Ai uses literary elements and techniques to convey the complexity of the speaker’s encounter with the saxophone player at that particular time and place.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Row A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Thesis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(0-1 points)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(0 points)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For any of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is no defensible thesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The intended thesis only restates the prompt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 point</strong></td>
<td>Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation of the poem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Responses that do not earn this point:**
- Only restate the prompt.
- Make a generalized comment about the poem that doesn’t respond to the prompt.
- Describe the poem or features of the poem rather than making a claim that requires a defense.

**Examples that do not earn this point:**
- Restate the prompt
  - “The poet employs various literary techniques to convey the speaker’s encounter with a saxophone player.”
  - “In the poem ‘The Man with the Saxophone,’ the speaker has a complex encounter with a man playing the saxophone on the street.”
- Do not relate to the prompt
  - “Early morning is a magical time when anything can happen.”
- Describe the poem or features of the poem
  - “Over the course of the poem, the speaker describes walking through the city until he finds the saxophonist. Then, instead of just listening to him play, the speaker pulls out his own saxophone and plays with him.”

**Responses that earn this point:**
- Provide a defensible interpretation of the speaker’s complex encounter with the saxophone player.

**Examples that earn this point:**
- Provide a defensible interpretation
  - “Ai employs literary techniques like varying line lengths and specific diction to convey the speaker’s encounter with the saxophone player as one of joyful union with a stranger.”
  - “The poet’s decision to set the speaker’s encounter with the saxophonist on the empty streets of New York City at five in the morning lends a feeling of otherworldliness and magic to their connection.”
  - “In ‘The Man with the Saxophone,’ Ai presents a speaker who is on a quest, searching through the deserted streets of a city. When the speaker comes upon the saxophone player, we see him as the speaker sees him—as an almost supernatural being bringing music to the city.”

**Additional Notes:**
- The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.
- The thesis may be anywhere within the response.
- For a thesis to be defensible, the poem must include at least minimal evidence that could be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.
- The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point.
- A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Row B** Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points) | **0 points** Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.  
**1 point**  
EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student’s argument. | **2 points**  
EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty. | **3 points**  
EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.  
AND  
Explains how at least one literary element or technique in the poem contributes to its meaning. | **4 points**  
EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.  
AND  
Explains how multiple literary elements or techniques in the poem contribute to its meaning. |

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Typical responses that earn 0 points:**  
- Are incoherent or do not address the prompt.  
- May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.  

**Typical responses that earn 1 point:**  
- Tend to focus on summary or description of the poem rather than specific details or techniques.  
- Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation.  

**Typical responses that earn 2 points:**  
- Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities.  
- May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument.  
- May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim.  
- Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.  

**Typical responses that earn 3 points:**  
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the poem to build an interpretation.  
- Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims.  
- Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.  

**Typical responses that earn 4 points:**  
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the poem to build an interpretation.  
- Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.  
- Explain how the writer’s use of multiple literary techniques contributes to the student’s interpretation of the poem.  

**Additional Notes:**  
- Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.  
- To earn the fourth point in this row, the response may observe multiple instances of the same literary element or technique if each instance further contributes to the meaning of the poem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Row C</strong>&lt;br&gt;Sophistication&lt;br&gt;(0-1 points)</td>
<td>0 points&lt;br&gt;Does not meet the criteria for one point.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Responses that do not earn this point:**
- Attempt to contextualize their interpretation, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations (“Human experiences always include…” OR “In a world where…” OR “Since the beginning of time…”).  
- Only hint at or suggest other possible interpretations (“While another reader may see…” OR “Though the poem could be said to…”).  
- Make a single statement about how an interpretation of the poem comments on something thematic without consistently maintaining that thematic interpretation.  
- Oversimplify complexities in the poem.  
- Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the student’s argument.

**Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought or develop a complex literary argument by doing any of the following:**
1. Identifying and exploring complexities or tensions within the poem.
2. Illuminating the student’s interpretation by situating it within a broader context.
3. Accounting for alternative interpretations of the poem.
4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.

**Additional Notes:**
- This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student’s argument, not merely a phrase or reference.
The following excerpt is from Tim Winton’s novel *Breath*, published in 2008. In this passage, the main character, Bruce Pike, recalls an incident at a nearby river. Read the passage carefully. Then, in a well-written essay, analyze how Winton uses literary elements and techniques to represent the complex response of the narrator to the incident at the riverbank.

In your response you should do the following:
- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Row A Thesis (0-1 points) | 0 points For any of the following:  
  - There is no defensible thesis.  
  - The intended thesis only restates the prompt.  
  - The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.  
  - There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.  
  
  1 point  
  Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation of the passage. |

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Responses that do not earn this point:**
- Only restate the prompt.
- Make a generalized comment about the passage that doesn’t respond to the prompt.
- Describe the passage or features of the passage rather than making a claim that requires a defense.

**Responses that earn this point:**
- Provide a defensible interpretation of the narrator’s complex response to the incident at the riverbank.

**Examples that do not earn this point:**
- Restate the prompt  
  “In this excerpt from *Breath*, Winton employs various literary techniques and elements to portray the narrator’s response to the incident at the riverbank.”
- Do not respond to the prompt but make a generalized comment  
  “Many year-round residents of a place feel disdainful towards the tourists that visit their hometown only during a particular season.”
- Describe the passage or features of the passage  
  “The narrator describes his memory of the prank at the river with his schoolmate Ivan Loon.”

**Examples that earn this point:**
- Provide a defensible interpretation  
  “In this excerpt, the narrator sees the fun in Loon’s pulling the prank on the summer visitors and also feels conflicted about the lady’s response.”  
  “The author’s use of the first-person point of view combined with the backward-looking perspective of a story that is being told some time later allows the narrator to reflect on the ‘sympathy and contempt’ he felt for the woman and girls during this incident.”  
  “Winston uses first-person narration, vivid descriptions, and humor to convey the narrator’s greater sense of connection to his friend Loon and his embarrassment on behalf of the woman who feels powerless in the situation.”

**Additional Notes:**
- The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.
- The thesis may be anywhere within the response.
- For a thesis to be defensible, the passage must include at least minimal evidence that could be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.
- The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point.
- A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scoring Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Evidence AND Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student’s argument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 points</td>
<td>EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 points</td>
<td>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; Explains how at least one literary element or technique in the passage contributes to its meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points</td>
<td>EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. &lt;br&gt; AND &lt;br&gt; Explains how multiple literary elements or techniques in the passage contribute to its meaning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Typical responses that earn 0 points:**
- Are incoherent or do not address the prompt.
- May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.

**Typical responses that earn 1 point:**
- Tend to focus on overarching narrative developments or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques.
- Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation.

**Typical responses that earn 2 points:**
- Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities.
- May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument.
- May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim.
- Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.

**Typical responses that earn 3 points:**
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an interpretation.
- Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims.
- Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.

**Typical responses that earn 4 points:**
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an interpretation.
- Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.
- Explain how the writer’s use of multiple literary techniques contributes to the student’s interpretation of the passage.

**Additional Notes:**
- Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.
- To earn the fourth point in this row, the response may observe multiple instances of the same literary element or technique if each instance further contributes to the meaning of the passage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophistication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-1 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or develops a complex literary argument.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision Rules and Scoring Notes

Responses that do not earn this point:
- Attempt to contextualize their interpretation, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("Human experiences always include..." OR "In a world where..." OR "Since the beginning of time...").
- Only hint at or suggest other possible interpretations ("While another reader may see..." OR "Though the passage could be said to...").
- Make a single statement about how an interpretation of the passage comments on something thematic without consistently maintaining that thematic interpretation.
- Oversimplify complexities in the passage.
- Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the student’s argument.

Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought or develop a complex literary argument by doing any of the following:
1. Identifying and exploring complexities or tensions within the passage.
2. Illuminating the student’s interpretation by situating it within a broader context.
3. Accounting for alternative interpretations of the passage.
4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.

### Additional Notes:
- This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student’s argument, not merely a phrase or reference.
In many works of fiction, houses take on symbolic importance. Such houses may be literal houses or unconventional ones (e.g., hotels, hospitals, monasteries, or boats).

Either from your own reading or from the list below, choose a work of fiction in which a literal or unconventional house serves as a significant symbol. Then, in a well-written essay, analyze how this house contributes to an interpretation of the work as a whole. Do not merely summarize the plot.

In your response, you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation.
- Provide evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Row A Thesis (0-1 points) | **0 points** For any of the following:  
• There is no defensible thesis.  
• The intended thesis only restates the prompt.  
• The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent thesis.  
• There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.  
**1 point**  
Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation of the selected work.  

### Decision Rules and Scoring Notes

**Responses that do not earn this point:**  
• Only restate the prompt.  
• Make a generalized comment about the selected work that doesn’t respond to the prompt.

**Responses that earn this point:**  
• Provide a defensible interpretation of a literal or unconventional house that serves as a significant symbol in the selected work.  
**OR**  
• Make a claim about how the symbolism of a literal or unconventional house contributes to an interpretation of the work as a whole.

**Examples that do not earn this point:**  
Restate the prompt  
• “Houses—either literal houses or unconventional ones like hospitals or hotels—often serve as significant symbols in fiction.”  

Do not respond to the prompt but make a generalized comment about the selected work  
• “The ‘house’ in the title of Edith Wharton’s *The House of Mirth* is actually a Biblical reference.”  
• “In *A Streetcar Named Desire*, Stella and Stanley find that their life is not what they had hoped it would be.”

**Examples that earn this point:**  
Provide a defensible interpretation  
• “The house on Bluestone Road symbolizes Sethe’s escape from slavery and her inability to escape from her traumatic memories.”  
• “The Pequod, and its destruction, represents the futility of Ahab’s obsessive pursuit of Moby Dick, which brings about his own death.”  
• “The Pyncheon mansion in *The House of the Seven Gables* symbolizes how the sins of the past are revisited on subsequent generations.”

### Additional Notes:

• The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.  
• The thesis may be anywhere within the response.  
• For a thesis to be defensible, the selected work must include at least minimal evidence that could be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.  
• The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point.  
• A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Category</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points) | 0 points Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.  
1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the argument.  
2 points EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.  
3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.  
4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  
AND  
COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. |

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

| Typical responses that earn 0 points: |  
| Are incoherent or do not address the prompt.  
| May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant. |  
| Typical responses that earn 1 point: |  
| Tend to focus on overarching narrative developments or description of a selected work rather than specific details. |  
| Typical responses that earn 2 points: |  
| Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities.  
| May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument.  
| May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim.  
| Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. |  
| Typical responses that earn 3 points: |  
| Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  
| Focus on the importance of specific details from the selected work to build an interpretation.  
| Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims.  
| Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. |  
| Typical responses that earn 4 points: |  
| Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  
| Focus on the importance of specific details from the selected works to build an interpretation.  
| Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. |  

**Additional Notes:**  
- Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.  
- To earn the fourth point in this row, the response must address the interpretation of the selected work as a whole.
### Reporting Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row C</th>
<th>Sophistication (0-1 points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or develops a complex literary argument.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision Rules and Scoring Notes

**Responses that do not earn this point:**

- Attempt to contextualize their interpretation, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("Human experiences always include..." OR "In a world where..." OR "Since the beginning of time...").
- Only hint at or suggest other possible interpretations ("While another reader may see..." OR "Though the text could be said to...”).
- Oversimplify complexities of the topic and/or the selected work.
- Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the student’s argument.

**Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought or develop a complex literary argument by doing any of the following:**

1. Identifying and exploring complexities or tensions within the selected work.
2. Illuminating the student’s interpretation by situating it within a broader context.
3. Accounting for alternative interpretations of the text.
4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.

### Additional Notes:

- This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student’s argument, not merely a phrase or reference.