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## General Scoring Notes

- Each part of the question (indicated by a letter) is initially scored by determining if it meets the criteria for essentially correct (E), partially correct (P), or incorrect (I). The response is then categorized based on the scores assigned to each letter part and awarded an integer score between 0 and 4 (see the table at the end of the question).
- The model solution represents an ideal response to each part of the question, and the scoring criteria identify the specific components of the model solution that are used to determine the score.


## Model Solution

(a) No, the researcher's claim is not correct.

Although the Baltimore survey has the least number of teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week, it also has the least number of teens surveyed among the three cities' samples. Comparing the numbers of teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week is meaningless without considering the sample sizes. The comparison should be based on proportions rather than counts. In fact, the proportion of Baltimore teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week, $\frac{727}{904} \approx 0.804$, is larger than the proportions for either of the other two cities, $\frac{1,232}{1,663} \approx 0.741$ for Detroit and $\frac{1,482}{2,280}=0.65$ for San Diego.

## Scoring

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following two components:

1. Indicates that the researcher's claim is not correct (or "may not be correct", if proportions are not reported)
2. Provides an explanation that is based on at least one of the following:

- The proportions (or relative frequencies), not counts, should be compared because the sample sizes are not equal OR
- The proportion of Baltimore teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week, $\frac{727}{904} \approx 0.804$, is larger than the proportion for at least one of the two other cities

Partially correct ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) if the response satisfies component 1 AND states that the sample sizes are not equal OR
if the response satisfies component 2 only OR
if the response provides a correct proportion (or relative frequency) for Baltimore and at least one other city.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P .

## Additional Notes:

- A response that compares the proportion of Baltimore teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week to the combined proportion of the other two cities, $\frac{1,323+1,482}{1,663+2,280}=\frac{2,714}{3,943} \approx 0.688$ is not equivalent to comparing counts and may earn a P .
- If the "yes" count for each city is divided by the same number (e.g., the total number of respondents who consumed a soft drink in the past week, 3,441 ; or the total sample size, 4,847 ), then the response is equivalent to comparing counts and should be scored I.
- A response may satisfy component 2 by providing correct numerical values for the proportion of Baltimore teens who did not consume a soft drink in the past week, $\frac{177}{904} \approx 0.196$, AND the proportion of teens who did not consume a soft drink in the past week for at least one of the two other cities, either $\frac{431}{1,663} \approx 0.259$ for Detroit and/or $\frac{798}{2,280}=0.35$ for San Diego.
- If work is shown, calculation or transcription errors should be ignored in scoring.
- Statistical notation should be ignored in scoring.


## Model Solution

(b) (i) A segmented bar graph of the relative frequencies based on the information in the table is shown below:


Proportion of teens who did consume soft drinks in the previous week

Proportion of teens who did not consume soft drinks in the previous week
(ii) The proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week are shown below:

- Baltimore: $\frac{727}{904} \approx 0.804$
- Detroit: $\frac{1,232}{1,663} \approx 0.741$
- San Diego: $\frac{1,482}{2,280}=0.65$

San Diego has the smallest proportion of teens ( 0.65 ) who consumed a soft drink in the previous week.

## Scoring

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following four components:

1. Constructs a segmented bar graph in part ( $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{i}$ ), with the bars correctly segmented
2. Includes clear labeling of the proportions of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week and the proportions of teens who did not consume a soft drink in the previous week for the segmented bar graph provided in part (b-i)
3. Identifies San Diego as the city with the smallest proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week in part (b-ii)
4. Reports the correct numerical value of the proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week for the city identified in part (b-ii)

Partially correct ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) if the response satisfies only two or three of the four components.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P .

## Additional Notes:

- A response that constructs a segmented bar graph with the lengths of the segments representing the relative frequencies of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week between 0.75 and 0.85 for Baltimore, between 0.7 and 0.8 for Detroit, and between 0.6 and 0.7 for San Diego satisfies component 1 .
- A response that constructs a segmented bar graph with the lengths of the segments representing the relative frequencies of teens who did not consume a soft drink in the previous week between 0.15 and 0.25 for Baltimore, between 0.2 and 0.3 for Detroit, and between 0.3 and 0.4 for San Diego satisfies component 1 .
- Incorrect proportions imported from part (a) may be used to satisfy component 1 .
- Segmented bar graphs with more than two segments cannot satisfy either component 1 or component 2 .
- Labels of "Yes" and "No" may satisfy component 2.
- A response to part (b-ii) that is consistent with an incorrect graph in (b-i) may satisfy components 3 and 4.


## Model Solution

(c) (i) Since the data were collected from independent random samples from the three cities, a chisquare test for homogeneity should be conducted.
(ii) The appropriate hypotheses are:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no difference in the proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week across the three cities.
$H_{a}$ : There is at least one difference in the
proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week across the three cities.
OR
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week is the same across the three cities.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ : The proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week differs for at least two of the three cities.

## Scoring

Essentially correct (E) if the response satisfies the following three components:

1. Identifies a chi-square test for homogeneity by name in part (c-i)
2. States the null hypothesis to imply homogeneous (or equal) proportions AND states the alternative hypothesis to imply that at least two proportions are not the same in part (c-ii)
3. Provides sufficient context for at least one of the hypotheses in part (c-ii) by including the parameters of interest (proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink) AND the populations (cities)

Partially correct ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) if the response satisfies component 1 and only one of the other two components
OR
if the response identifies a "chi-square test" in part (c-i) by name or formula AND satisfies component 2.

Incorrect (I) if the response does not meet the criteria for E or P .

## Additional Notes:

- A response that identifies two different tests is considered parallel solutions and the weaker solution is used when scoring component 1 .
- Component 1 is not satisfied by the test statistic formula for a chi-square test unless the response includes "Homogeneity."
- Component 1 is not satisfied if the response presents a test statistic formula that is inconsistent with a chisquare test of homogeneity, even if the response identifies a chi-square test of homogeneity by name.
- A response that states the hypotheses in terms of distributions rather than proportions (e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no difference in distributions of teens who consumed or did not consume a soft drink in the past week across the three cities) satisfies component 2.
- A response that states either the null hypothesis or the alternative hypothesis by referring to sample proportions does not satisfy component 2 .
- A response that uses symbols to describe the hypotheses must clearly identify the parameters in context (proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink) AND reference the populations (cities) in order to satisfy component 3.
- Any attempt to check test conditions should be ignored in scoring.
- Any discussion of the degrees of freedom for the test should be ignored in scoring.

| Scoring for Question 5 | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| Complete Response | 4 |
| Three parts essentially correct |  |
| Substantial Response | 3 |
| Two parts essentially correct and one part partially correct |  |
| Developing Response | 2 |
| Two parts essentially correct and no part partially correct$O R$ |  |
| One part essentially correct and one or two parts partially correctOR |  |
| Three parts partially correct |  |
| Minimal Response | 1 |
| One part essentially correct and no part partially correct OR |  |
| No part essentially correct and two parts partially correct |  |

Common acceptable and unacceptable graphs for part (b-i)
Acceptable Graph


Common Unacceptable Graphs


Proportion of teens who did consume soft drinks in the previous week

Proportion of teens who did not consume soft drinks in the previous week


Proportion of teens who did consume soft drinks in the previous week

Proportion of teens who did not consume soft drinks in the previous week
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Common Unacceptable Graphs (continued)


Proportion of teens who did consume soft drinks in the previous week

Proportion of teens who did not consume soft drinks in the previous week

## Begin your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

5. A research center conducted a national survey about teenage behavior. Teens were asked whether they had consumed a soft drink in the past week. The following table shows the counts for three independent random samples from major cities.

|  | Baltimore | Detroit | San Diego | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 727 | 1,232 | 1,482 | 3,441 |
| No | 177 | 431 | 798 | 1,406 |
| Total | 904 | 1,663 | 2,280 | 4,847 |

(a) Suppose one teen is randomly selected from each city's sample. A researcher claims that the likelihood of selecting a teen from Baltimore who consumed a soft drink in the past week is less than the likelihood of selecting a teen from either one of the other cities who consumed a soft drink in the past week because Baltimore has the least number of teens who consumed a soft drink. Is the researcher's claim correct? Explain your answer.
The researcher's claim is incorrect. Just because the count of Baltimore teens who consumed a soft drink in the past meek doesn't mean it is leas likely to select a teen from Baltimore who answered yes than the other cities. In reality, the likelihood of a Baltimore teen answering yes is $\frac{727}{904}=0.804$, which is higher than Detroit $\left(\frac{1233}{1663}=0,741\right)$ and San Diego $\left(\frac{1482}{2280}=0,650\right)$. There were differing numbers of teens surveyed in the three cities, so the numbers cannot be compared directly; theymust be proportions first.

## Continue your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

(b) Consider the values in the table.
(i) Construct a segmented bar chart of relative frequencies based on the information in the table.

(ii) Which city had the smallest proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week? Determine the value of the proportion.
San Diego $\rightarrow p=\frac{1482}{2280}=0.65$
(c) Consider the inference procedure that is appropriate for investigating whether there is a difference among the three cities in the proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week.
(i) Identify the appropriate inference procedure.

(ii) Identify the hypotheses of the test.
$H_{0}$ : All three cities have the same proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week.
$H_{a}$ : The proportion of teens who had a soft drink in the past weak differs among the three cities,

## Sample 5B, pg 1 of 2

## Begin your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

5. A research center conducted a national survey about teenage behavior. Teens were asked whether they had consumed a soft drink in the past week. The following table shows the counts for three independent random samples from major cities.

|  | Baltimore | Detroit | San Diego | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 727 | 1,232 | 1,482 | 3,441 |
| No | 177 | 431 | 798 | 1,406 |
| Total | 904 | 1,663 | 2,280 | 4,847 |

(a) Suppose one teen is randomly selected from each city's sample. A researcher claims that the likelihood of selecting a teen from Baltimore who consumed a soft drink in the past week is less than the likelihood of selecting a teen from either one of the other cities who consumed a soft drink in the past week because Baltimore has the least number of teens who consumed a soft drink. Is the researcher's claim correct? Explain your answer.


## Sample 5B, pg 2 of 2

## Continue your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

(b) Consider the values in the table.
(i) Construct a segmented bar chart of relative frequencies based on the information in the table.

(ii) Which city had the smallest proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week? Determine the value of the proportion.
Sandiege had the sonallest proportion of teens
who consume a got dink in the previous week, with eropolion af .65 Saying yes
(c) Consider the inference procedure that is appropriate for investigating whether there is a difference among the three cities in the proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week.
(i) Identify the appropriate inference procedure.

2

(ii) Identify the hypotheses of the test.

ho: there is re Difference in the eropertiony tee of teens when drink soft arinkg Cat heart wok inthe 3 chat ha: theism difference intzepropertiorgot teens $r$ che Lranksfesterinks inthe fest wee in the 3citier.

## Sample 5C, pg 1 of 2

## Begin your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

5. A research center conducted a national survey about teenage behavior. Teens were asked whether they had consumed a soft drink in the past week. The following table shows the counts for three independent random samples from major cities.

|  | Baltimore | Detroit | San Diego | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 727 | 1,232 | 1,482 | 3,441 |
| No | 177 | 431 | 798 | 1,406 |
| Total | 904 | 1,663 | 2,280 | 4,847 |

(a) Suppose one teen is randomly selected from each city's sample. A researcher claims that the likelihood of selecting a teen from Baltimore who consumed a soft drink in the past week is less than the likelihood of selecting a teen from either one of the other cities who consumed a soft drink in the past week because Baltimore has the least number of teens who consumed a soft drink. Is the researcher's claim correct? Explain your answer.



## Sample 5C, pg 2 of 2

## Continue your response to QUESTION 5 on this page.

(b) Consider the values in the table.
(i) Construct a segmented bar chart of relative frequencies based on the information in the table.

(ii) Which city had the smallest proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink in the previous week?

Determine the value of the proportion.

(c) Consider the inference procedure that is appropriate or investigating whether there isp difference among the three cities in the proportion of all teens who consumed a soft drink in the past week.
(i) Identify the appropriate inference procedure.


 homogeneity
(ii) Identify the hypotheses of the test.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{a} \text { : The consumption ar soft drinks of teenagers is } \\
& \text { the same in Baltimeres Detroit, and Jan Diego } \\
& H_{A} \text { : The consumption at soft drinter of }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Question 5

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain spelling and grammatical errors.

## Overview

The primary goals of this question were to assess a student's ability to (1) recognize whether comparisons between samples should be based on proportions instead of counts when sample sizes are different; (2) identify appropriate proportions to compute from a table of counts; (3) construct and label a segmented bar chart; (4) use a segmented bar chart to make a comparison; (5) identify an appropriate inference procedure for investigating whether the distribution of a categorical random variable differs across populations; and (5) identify the null and alternative hypotheses for a chi-square test of homogeneity.

This question assesses skills in multiple skill categories, including skill category 1: Selecting Statistical Methods; skill category 2: Data Analysis; and skill category 4: Statistical Argumentation. Skills required for responding to this question include (1.E) Identify an appropriate inference method for significance tests, (1.F) Identify null and alternative hypotheses, (2.B) construct numerical or graphical representations of distributions, (2.D) compare distributions or relative positions of points within a distribution, and (4.B) Interpret statistical calculations and findings to assign meaning or assess a claim.

This question covers content from multiple units, including Unit 1: Exploring One-Variable Data, Unit 2:
Exploring Two-Variable Data, and Unit 8: Inference for Categorical Data: Chi-Square of the course framework in the AP Statistics Course and Exam Description. Refer to topics 1.4, 2.2. 2.3, and 8.5, and learning objectives UNC-1.C UNC-1.P, UNC-1.R, VAR-8.I, and VAR-8.J.

## Sample: 5A

Score: 4
The response earned the following: part (a) - E; part (b) - E; part (c) - E.
In part (a) the response indicates the claim is incorrect, satisfying component 1 ; proportions are shown and explicitly compared by the phrase "is higher than Detroit," satisfying component 2. Part (a) was scored essentially correct (E).

In part (b-i) the response correctly segments and labels the bars, satisfying components 1 and 2 . In part (b-ii) the response correctly indicates San Diego and states the correct proportion, satisfying components 3 and 4. Part (b) was scored essentially correct (E).

In part (c-i) the response correctly identifies the chi-square test of homogeneity by name, satisfying component 1. In part (c-ii) the response correctly states the hypotheses for a chi-square test of homogeneity in words and provides sufficient context, satisfying components 2 and 3 . Part (c) was scored essentially correct (E).

## Question 5 (continued)

## Sample: 5B

## Score: 2

The response earned the following: part (a) - P; part (b) - E; part (c) - P.
In part (a) the response indicates that the researcher's claim is incorrect, satisfying component 1 . The response indicates that the sample sizes are not equal using the phrase "the total number of teens in the survey was much less in baltimore," but does not provide proportions; thus, component 2 is not satisfied. Because component 1 is satisfied, and the response indicates sample sizes are not equal, part (a) was scored partially correct (P).

In part (b-i) the response correctly segments and labels the segments, satisfying components 1 and 2 . In part (b-ii) the response correctly indicates San Diego and states the correct proportion, satisfying components 3 and 4. Part (b) was scored essentially correct (E).

In part ( $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$ ) the response identifies a " $\chi^{2}$ test," which does not satisfy component 1 but does identify the test as chi-square; the response correctly states both hypotheses for a chi-square test of homogeneity, with context, satisfying components 2 and 3. Part (c) was scored partially correct (P).

## Sample: 5C <br> Score: 1

The response earned the following: part (a) - I; part (b) - E; part (c) - I.
In part (a) the response indicates the claim is not correct, satisfying component 1 ; unequal sample sizes are not mentioned, and correct proportions are not shown. Thus component 2 is not satisfied. The chi-square test of homogeneity is ignored. Part (a) was scored incorrect (I).

In part (b-i) the response correctly segments and labels the segments, satisfying components 1 and 2 . In part (b-ii) the response correctly indicates San Diego and states the correct proportion, satisfying components 3 and 4 . Part (b) was scored essentially correct (E).

In part (c-i) the response correctly identifies the chi-square test of homogeneity by name, satisfying component 1. In part (c-ii) the response does not include the correct hypotheses for a chi-square test of homogeneity or the parameter of interest (the proportion of teens who consumed a soft drink); thus, components 2 and 3 are not satisfied. Part (c) was scored incorrect (I).

