# AP English Language and Composition

# Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

# Inside:

Free Response Question 1

- ☑ Scoring Guideline
- **✓** Scoring Commentary

Synthesis Essay 6 points

In the nineteenth and most of the twentieth centuries, handwriting instruction (print and cursive) was virtually universal in schools in the United States. By contrast, little if any time is devoted to such lessons today. While some argue that handwriting instruction should still have a place in schooling, others maintain that digital technologies have rendered such instruction unnecessary.

Carefully read the following six sources, including the introductory information for each source. Write an essay that synthesizes material from at least three of the sources and develops your position on the place, if any, of handwriting instruction in today's schools.

Source A (Gillis)
Source B (worksheet)
Source C (Trubek)
Source D (Kysilko)
Source E (Pot)
Source F (graph)

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support your line of reasoning. Indicate clearly the sources used through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. Sources may be cited as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the description in parentheses.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria			
Row A Thesis (0-1 points)	O points  For any of the following:  There is no defensible thesis.  The intended thesis only restates the prompt.  The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.  There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.	1 point Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.  Rules and Scoring Notes		
	<ul> <li>Responses that do not earn this point:</li> <li>Only restate the prompt.</li> <li>Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred.</li> <li>Equivocate or summarize others' arguments but not the student's (e.g., some people say it's good, some people say it's bad).</li> <li>State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Responses that earn this point:</li> <li>Respond to the prompt by developing a position on handwriting instruction in today's schools, rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. Clearly take a position rather than just stating there are pros/cons.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Examples that do not earn this point:         Restate the prompt         "Some people think that handwriting should still be taught in schools today, but others think that it's not necessary anymore because everything is digital."     </li> <li>Address the topic of the prompt, but do not take a position</li> <li>"Kids no longer learn handwriting in school, and there are several reasons why that's the case."</li> <li>Address the topic of the prompt but state an obvious fact as a claim</li> <li>"As opposed to previous centuries, nowadays handwriting is not really taught in schools anymore."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Examples that earn this point:</li> <li>Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt</li> <li>"Even though it may seem old-fashioned, handwriting should still be taught in schools today."</li> <li>"While it makes sense for students to learn keyboarding and other skills that will prepare them for a digital world, handwriting instruction still holds a place in a modern child's education."</li> <li>"Given the fact that most kids learn on computers and tablets nowadays, it makes no sense for them to spend time learning an obsolete skill such as cursive handwriting, although they still will need to learn how to print."</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Additional Notes:</li> <li>The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.</li> <li>The thesis may be anywhere within the response.</li> <li>For a thesis to be defensible, the sources must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.</li> <li>The thesis <i>may</i> establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point.</li> <li>A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.</li> </ul>			

eporting ategory			Scoring Criteria		
Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)	O points  Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or references fewer than two of the provided sources.	1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least two of the provided sources. AND COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least three of the provided sources.  AND  COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	3 points  EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  AND  COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from a least three of the provided source to support all claims in a line of reasoning.  AND COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.
			Decision Rules and Scoring N	Notes	
	Typical responses that earn  0 points:  Are incoherent or do not address the prompt.  May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	Typical responses that earn 1 point:  Tend to focus on summary or description of sources rather than specific details.	<ul> <li>Typical responses that earn 2 points:         <ul> <li>Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities.</li> <li>May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument.</li> <li>May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim.</li> <li>Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Typical responses that earn 3 points:  Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument.  Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims.  Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.	Typical responses that earn A points:  Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.  Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument.  Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.

# AP® English Language and Composition 2021 Scoring Guidelines

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row C	0 points	1 point			
Sophistication	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the			
(0-1 points)		rhetorical situation.			
	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes				
	Responses that do not earn this point:     Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time").      Only hint at or suggest other arguments ("While some may argue that" OR "Some people say").      Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the argument.	<ol> <li>Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:         <ol> <li>Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions across the sources.</li> <li>Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student's argument or arguments conveyed in the sources) by situating it within a broader context.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student's argument throughout the response.</li> <li>Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.</li> </ol>			
Additional Notes:  This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex un		omplex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a phrase or reference.			

Pennanghip if a proutice that has hold True throughout centuries of world nigtory Through its biginnings as pictographic firspts and its historic practical applications, penmonthip has managed to connect people for as long as time can tell. Through lettery between lovery via carrier piguon or through fignatures on legal documents, pennanghip finds its place in society day in and day out. While to Jone, cursive handwriting in particular may juin a futi motor skilly, for many, it creating the track of a pen accoss toaning loops aross a paper allows them not only served no cognitive benefits, but a june of individuality and Normalcy in a rapidly digitalizing world.

Cursive handwriting, though it many
from outdated in the tot digital age,

if vital in possety not only because it

promutes cognitive development, but
because it can be used to define a performent

and his (her work; day to the importance

of cursive written it many its teaching of confive writing, it mus it y teaching must not be onitted from Jehoaling.

In practice, the benefity of curjive writing cannot be outshone, is pecially in terms of cognitive divelopment. Huding show that the the act of handwriting not only divelops the regions of the brain associated with thinking, short term numory, and language, but that it also helps with information retution (kypilko). While the cognitive benefits of hundwriting are obviously not trucked to limited to curpive handwriting, manuscript, while stow it yields the same benefits, if slower. Many proponents of eliminating the teaching of curfix in schools argue that it would allow time for other more important skills to be developed, cursive helps gave time in the long run for many due to its fluidity in practice. Others claim that currice should not be taught as a neverity but as an art, but this perspective, to, fails to acknowledge that it contradicts the primary doctrine of the anti-curpive: that the teaching of the still is a worte of time (Pot). The hytorical fight fleance of cursive is also importants to note when discoupling the viability of

teaching curjive in shool in the undern day. In Anterican history, cursive was taught as a method of cultivating an American identity, as Dickie Drake, Alabaniu State representative stated, "consider writing identifier you as much as your physical features do. (Trubet). In order to maintain the sense of individuality Anuricans hold so dearly, handwriting must continue to be taught. Perhaps handwriting jums a superficial means of maintaining individuality, but in a rapidly digitalizing world, Jonetims the only way to distinguish the writing of two people if by handwriting. Unless you are a jeagoned stylographer, in 12 pt Tinus New Roman font estants written by anonymous anthorn will be to the by anonymous anthors will be impossible to distinguish. & No matter how you look at it, to curriere handwriting it a vital component of human divelopment and must continue to be taught in schools. Perhaps the most common rebuttal to the importance of teaching to cursive handwriting in jehool is that traditional writing methods are busing obsolute,

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines, but studies show that it clearly not the case. As schools opt to print fewer annotation and many textbooks are releasing digital forms, a wide spread falschood is gaining popularity: The idea that schools are "[writing] off the traditional toute of writing" (Gillis). In carnal observation of nive affolient areas, this may sum obvious, but studies show that a greater turn amount of time in elast many elementary classrooms is spent hand-writing than is spent using technology (graph). This simple disproval eliminates the top argument againt teas the continuation of teaching unifive handwriting, thus during anti-cursive sentiment & unarguable. The teaching of cursive in schools offers copious amounts of benefits for students, that cannot effectively be replaced by any other nuthody. Cursive is ingrained in the minds of people everythere, perhaps due to its lasting expect on human cognitive development. Jo 1 implore you, frudent or not, to continue to frudy curfive. Allow

yourself a return to the tradition after a

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new	page. Do not skip lines.
long day at the computer might leave a mark on your	Jon just
might have a mark on your	mina forever.
Page 6	

In the present, hardwriting instruction in schools has durabled from its former prominence. The digital age offers allowatives to developing and mastering permanship, so the art is less prioritized compared to allowable common come subjects. Although hardwriting instruction develops motor stalls, it has little place in schools because it is inefficient compared to technology, and other subjects one more useful in the red world.

Many orbicoles for hardwithing instruction like noter still development as a reason to keep the practice. For instance, the Notland Americation of Stake Boards of Education claims practicing hardwriting but requires and congruents fine noter skills (Source D). However, attributing noter skills prinarily to this course is not enthrely accorde. Editablish Swith Pot rebutted the claim by explaining about uses of hards such as plugling with games are equally as effective (Source E). While hardwiting instruction may indeed protofe signific more shills, afterestive aptions render taking periods of class for this one section irrelevant. Therefore, the development of fine motor skills is not evaluative to hardwriting instruction, so schools should instead consider less time-addition alternatives.

One flag of bodiesting instruction is its decreasing efficiency with the advent of digital typing. Author prine Trobeth of the New York Tires ordinits even tilled grades spend less time witting typing then witting (Source (). Because role-taking shills are maximized with the outhor's speed, it are method is notariously slave than others, the rost efficient applian should be in practiced. In fact, a sure, of elementary school students

quantified the difference in speed as hardwitting tallos over tuke as much ting as computer use (Source P). Due to this extreme discrepancy, practicing hardwitting instruction in schools should not be encouraged within tenhalogy is generally for superior for the studiets whose shills must be althorized. As said, hardwitting instruction has little place in the madern era.

Finally, other subjects should be take the place of the sport instructing landweiting in schools. Specifically in regards to specialized handweiting like austre, limited school line is better sport an subject the standards will use dolly, and even second-grade tender. Deb Fitzgraddingreen schools should more on and taw class time on other polics "Corre A. Shilly such as notth should be printitized for younger children shop methods like multiplication are integral to both and it and child like (Source B). Overall, rather than take up that the death time to a subject with little use, schools should instead realbaste three to other case topics the standard can use in the real world.

In condustan, while hardwilling instruction has some amount of most with its pranotion of motion orbits, it passes little march in ordinals because or its inefficiency and to lack of physical use.

Cursive hand writing should not be focused, or taught in School, because it is a waste of time, it is not userul, and technology is more preventent now.

Teaching cursive writing to a waste of time. It see cursive writing is not mandatory then that can read students to tous on building a larger bocabulary, which will whimately make them a stronger writer. In source C the text states in the last paragraph "the changes imposed by the digited age may be god for wilters and writing, occase they acrieve automostically quicker on the Reclosurd, Eday's think grocers may well become better withers as handwining takes up less or their Education! This piece of text displays how the younger children Make a better chance at being better writers because hundwriting is not taking much or their time, With this extra time children could be builting obcdoming to be a succession writer hearning cursive Writing In School is a waste of time because as the kulture is approunting the use or curaive is becoming non-existing gource to steetes "the experiments most of us have, with 30 minutes a day practicing cursive in class, have gone by the weapside. This is supporting the their that the time used terring curieve has not been usered to everyday lit.

Coing along Juith a wate of time cursile 15th used enough to be benificial to which leaven it. Many Schools nove cut it out or the curriculum Source of States "41 States have adopted the Common Core State Jourses for English, which omiss cursive hardwriting from requires curriculum." Source of cleary displays the ideal that cursive handwriting in the Johns system and is the sound system and is the sound system and is the sound.

mandatory i because it is Steetes that 41 Steetes have term it and of their curricum.

As tone goes on and technology progressed technology will be used more and the use of penali and paper are going to be more discrete. In addition to that with technology advacing their are going to need to be thinks taught about technology. The move actside our schools, and in innovertive schools, is toward technology. This than source it is simply supporting traver technology is taking over, became the world outer school is tilled with technology. From source it is never the world outer school is tilled with technology. From source it is never the world outer of the world outer in head of the technology is peaked, it's scare to say the future award injusted a lot of cursive handwriting with theorem, obtaining the need for cursive handwriting is decreasing.

in school more

# Question 1

**Note:** Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain spelling and grammatical errors.

#### **Overview**

The synthesis prompt for this year asked students to use material from six provided sources and develop a position on the place, if any, of handwriting instruction in today's schools. Students were expected to respond to the prompt with a thesis that presented a defensible position; select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support their line of reasoning—indicating clearly the sources used through direct quotations, paraphrase, or summary; explain how the evidence supported their line of reasoning; and use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating their argument. The skills required included 2.A, 4.A, 4.B, 4.C, 6.A, 6.B, 6.C, 8.A, 8.B, and 8.C.

We expected these students to develop an argument that consistently demonstrated their understanding of the distinctions being made about cursive vs. manuscript instruction and its importance or lack thereof. This prompt was highly accessible, and nearly all students were able to frame a recognizable response. Their theses were clearly articulated in almost all cases. It appeared that the combination of the new scoring guide and the new stable wording has helped students in that way. The Question Leader makes the important observation that "many lower-half papers were written by students who combed through sources looking for 'support' for their own arguments, instead of engaging with the sources," which was the ultimate aim. By the same token, there were some very accessible places for students to question/engage critically with the sources, and many did so very well. The higher-performing students were also expected to use the sources in conversation with one another in ways that would reveal their sophisticated writing and thinking and their ability to synthesize information in service of their own argument.

Sample: 1A Score: 1-4-1

# Thesis (0-1 points): 1

The thesis is stated at the end of paragraph 1: "Cursive handwriting, though it may seem outdated in the digital age, is vital in society not only because it promotes cognitive development, but because it can be used to define a person and his/her work; due to the importance of cursive writing, its teaching must not be omitted from schooling." This is a defensible statement that takes a position on handwriting instruction.

### Evidence and Sophistication (0-4 points): 4

The response develops a sustained line of reasoning both within and between the paragraphs. It moves smoothly back and forth between discussions of the benefits of cursive and rebuttals of typical objections to cursive handwriting instruction. For example, the response cites Source D about the cognitive benefits of cursive, anticipates the counterargument that manuscript would carry the same benefits, and addresses this counterargument with the claim that "manuscript, while it yields the same benefits, is slower." All of this is done in one sentence. The response consistently exhibits fluid control of the evidence and appropriate explanations of its significance. Whereas less controlled responses tend to quote large pieces of text when less would be appropriate, this response consistently embeds and responds to very specific words and phrases from the sources and integrates them into its explanations.

### Sophistication (0–1 points): 1

The response consistently displays a vividness and persuasiveness of style that goes beyond the occasional rhetorical flourish. From the crafted introduction that contains relevant details about the history of script to the direct address in the conclusion, the response is vivid. The response also consistently explores complexities and tensions across the sources. Most sources are presented in conjunction with one another in a way that

# Question 1 (continued)

demonstrates a deep understanding of the tensions present and offers a cogent explanation for why the response's position is ultimately more relevant. Finally, the response consistently explores the broader context of the place of cursive in American society.

Sample: 1B Score: 1-3-0

## Thesis (0-1 points): 1

This response clearly articulates its thesis at the end of paragraph 1: "Although handwriting instruction develops motor skills, it has little place in schools because it is inefficient compared to technology, and other subjects are more useful in the real world." This multipart claim is defensible and takes a clear position on the subject of handwriting instruction.

# Evidence and Commentary (0-4 points): 3

The response uses a conventional five-paragraph structure, but it distinguishes itself by incorporating evidence from multiple sources clearly and explaining their connection to the thesis. The response organizes multiple claims into a line of reasoning, providing clear explanations of specific evidence. For example, in paragraph 2 the response uses Source D to provide evidence of an opposing point of view, then uses evidence from Source E to refute the claim. The response goes on to explain how the point raised in Source E about video games developing motor skills is applicable to the classroom, saying that "alternative options render taking periods of class for this one section irrelevant" and that "schools should instead consider less time-obtrusive alternatives." Not all of the evidence in the response is clearly integrated, however. The third paragraph's claim that Source C "admits even third graders spend less time typing than writing" is a mischaracterization of the significance of the relevant phrase, and the connection to the "decreasing efficiency" of handwriting is not clear. In the same paragraph, the claim that the chart in Source F demonstrates that "handwriting takes over twice as much time as computer use" is also a mischaracterization with little connection to the topic of the paragraph. These lapses prevent the response from having earned the fourth point in Row B.

### Sophistication (0-1 points): 0

The response does not display a vividness or persuasiveness of style. While its prose is generally functional and workmanlike, it does not serve to highlight the most persuasive parts of the argument. The response does contain a line of reasoning, but it does not explore the complexities or tensions across the sources, nor does it situate the argument in a broader context. Its mischaracterization of several of the sources does not suggest a very sophisticated grasp of the material. The response also does not display especially effective rhetorical choices. Once an adequate explanation has been provided for one point, the response moves on to its next point.

Sample: 1C Score: 1-1-0

#### Thesis (0-1 points): 1

The thesis is stated in the first sentence of the passage: "Cursive hand writing should not be focused, or taught in school, because it is a waste of time, it is not useful, and technology is more prevelent now." Although its phrasing is somewhat confusing, it is a defensible thesis that takes a position on handwriting instruction.

# Evidence and Commentary (0-4 points): 1

The response is characterized by long quotes that are followed by close paraphrases. For example, paragraph 2 includes an extended quotation from Source C that is followed by the paraphrase, "This piece of text displays how the younger children have a better chance of being better writers because handwriting is not taking much of their time." The commentary that follows, "With this extra time children could be building vocabulary,"

# Question 1 (continued)

attempts to explain the statement, but it is not attached to the argument. Later paragraphs lack even attempts at commentary and consist entirely of close summary of the sources.

# Sophistication (0-1 points): 0

The response does not display a vividness or persuasiveness of style. It often struggles to find the appropriate word or syntactical structure to convey the underlying idea, as when it asserts in the first sentence that "Cursive handwriting should not be focused, or taught in school." The response does not develop a line of reasoning, so it could not earn the sophistication point by exploring complexities or tensions across the sources or situating its argument in a broader context. Finally, the response does not display effective rhetorical choices. Its consistent use of a quotation followed by a paraphrase is not a sophisticated structure.