Rhetorical Analysis - Obama
Rhetorical Analysis

On August 29, 2009, then President Barack Obama delivered a eulogy at the funeral of Senator Ted Kennedy in Boston, Massachusetts. Kennedy served in the United States Senate from 1962 until his death. Obama served with him in the Senate from 2005 until Obama was elected president in 2008. The following is an excerpt from Obama’s speech. Read the passage carefully. Write an essay that analyzes the rhetorical choices Obama makes to achieve his purpose of praising and memorializing Kennedy.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the rhetorical situation.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row A Thesis (0-1 points)</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0 points | For any of the following:  
  - There is no defensible thesis.  
  - The intended thesis only restates the prompt.  
  - The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.  
  - There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. |
| 1 point | Responds to the prompt with a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices. |

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Responses that do not earn this point:**
- Only restate the prompt.
- Fail to address the rhetorical choices the writer of the passage makes.
- Describe or repeat the passage rather than making a claim that requires a defense.

**Responses that earn this point:**
- Respond to the prompt rather than restate or rephrase the prompt and clearly articulate a defensible thesis about the rhetorical choices Obama makes to achieve his purpose of praising and memorializing Kennedy.

**Examples that do not earn this point:**
- Restate the prompt
  - “Barrack Obama eulogizes his former colleague Senator Ted Kennedy to praise him.”
- Make a claim but do not address the writer’s rhetorical choices
  - “Obama’s eulogy for Teddy Kennedy shows that Kennedy had faced many challenges but still gave back to the world through politics.”
- Repeat provided information from the passage
  - “Obama memorializes Ted Kennedy by calling him a ‘patriot.'”

**Examples that earn this point:**
- Present a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices
  - “In his 2009 eulogy, President Obama uses vivid diction to recount the impressive life of Ted Kennedy. This diction creates a contrast between the hardships Kennedy faced and his soaring success as a politician, which aids Obama in achieving his desire to memorialize the late Senator.”
  - “By using pathos, brief anecdotes and imagery, Obama was able to convey the sense that Kennedy was a strong, genuine human being who only wanted to leave a positive impact on others.”
  - “By contrasting anecdotes from young Ted Kennedy’s life with well-known examples of his work as a champion of human rights, Obama reveals that Kennedy deserves to be considered a patriot.”

**Additional Notes:**
- The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.
- The thesis may be anywhere within the response.
- For a thesis to be defensible, the passage must include at least minimal evidence that could be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.
- The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn’t do so to earn the thesis point.
- A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.
### Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0-4 points)</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>3 points</th>
<th>4 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.</td>
<td><strong>EVIDENCE:</strong> Provides evidence that is mostly general. <strong>AND</strong> <strong>COMMENTARY:</strong> Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student’s argument.</td>
<td><strong>EVIDENCE:</strong> Provides some specific, relevant evidence. <strong>AND</strong> <strong>COMMENTARY:</strong> Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student’s argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.</td>
<td><strong>EVIDENCE:</strong> Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. <strong>AND</strong> <strong>COMMENTARY:</strong> Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. <strong>AND</strong> Explains how at least one rhetorical choice in the passage contributes to the writer’s argument, purpose, or message.</td>
<td><strong>EVIDENCE:</strong> Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. <strong>AND</strong> <strong>COMMENTARY:</strong> Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. <strong>AND</strong> Explains how multiple rhetorical choices in the passage contribute to the writer’s argument, purpose, or message.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision Rules and Scoring Notes

#### Typical responses that earn 0 points:
- Are incoherent or do not address the prompt.
- May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.

#### Typical responses that earn 1 point:
- Tend to focus on summary or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques.
- Mention rhetorical choices with little or no explanation.

#### Typical responses that earn 2 points:
- Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities.
- May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don’t strengthen the argument.
- May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim.
- Do not explain the connections or progression between the student’s claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.

#### Typical responses that earn 3 points:
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument.
- Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims.
- Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.

#### Typical responses that earn 4 points:
- Uniformly offer evidence to support claims.
- Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument.
- Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.
- Explain how the writer’s use of rhetorical choices contributes to the student’s interpretation of the passage.

### Additional Notes:
- Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.
- To earn the fourth point in this row, the response may observe multiple instances of the same rhetorical choice if each instance further contributes to the argument, purpose, or message of the passage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row C Sophistication (0-1 points)</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 points</td>
<td>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 point</td>
<td>Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision Rules and Scoring Notes**

**Responses that do not earn this point:**
- Attempt to contextualize the text, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations (“In a world where...” OR “Since the beginning of time...”).
- Only hint at or suggest other arguments (“While some may argue that...” OR “Some people say...”).
- Examine individual rhetorical choices but do not examine the relationships among different choices throughout the text.
- Oversimplify complexities in the text.
- Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the analysis.

**Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:**
1. Explaining the significance or relevance of the writer’s rhetorical choices (given the rhetorical situation).
2. Explaining a purpose or function of the passage’s complexities or tensions.
3. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.

**Additional Notes:**
- This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the argument, not merely a phrase or reference.