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Question 3 — Long Essay Question

“Evaluate the most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800.”

Maximum Possible Points: 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Rubric</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A: Thesis/Claim (0–1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Thesis/Claim:</strong> Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning. (1 point)</td>
<td>The thesis statement must make a historically defensible claim about the most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800, with a clear line of reasoning for making that claim.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|  | To earn this point the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt, rather than merely restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion. | • “Although the population growth had made some political changes, it is clear that the majority of the changes were economical as shown with Great Britain.”  
• “The most significant effect this population increase was the growth in size of the urban poor. The growth of the urban poor led to poor living conditions in cities, the French Revolution, and set the stage for the first Industrial Revolution.”  
• “The most significant effect of population growth in Europe from 1700 to 1800 was urbanization and the creation of large cities which was marked by poverty, crime, and poor sanitation.” |
| **B: Contextualization (0–1)** | **Contextualization:** Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt. (1 point) | To earn this point, the essay must accurately describe a context relevant to population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800. |
|  | To earn this point the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or a reference. | Examples of context might include the following, with appropriate elaboration:  
• The Commercial Revolution  
• The Industrial Revolution  
• The Agricultural Revolution  
• The Spread of Enlightenment Ideas  
• The Scientific Revolution  
• Prior depopulation due to bubonic plague |
### Question 3 — Long Essay Question (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C: Evidence (0–2)</th>
<th>Evidence: Provides specific examples of evidence relevant to the <strong>topic</strong> of the prompt. (1 point)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Supports an Argument:</strong> Supports an <strong>argument</strong> in response to the prompt using specific and relevant examples of evidence. (2 points)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**To earn the first point,** the response must identify specific historical examples of evidence relevant to population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800.

**OR**

**To earn the second point,** the response must use specific historical evidence to support an argument in response to the prompt.

*Evidence used might include:*  
- Urbanization  
- Enclosure  
- Demographic shifts  
- Public health  
- Political turmoil  
- European marriage patterns  
- Class consciousness
**Question 3 — Long Essay Question (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historical Reasoning:</th>
<th>Examples of using historical reasoning might include:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Uses historical reasoning (e.g., comparison, causation, continuity, and change over time) to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt. (1 point) | • Establishing a causal relationship between population growth and an effect of that growth and following through on that relationship in a coherent argument  
• Providing a broad category of effects of population growth, and then developing subcategories/examples in order to support a broader argument  
• Describing change over time, elaborating on the changes and continuities that occurred over the period covered by the topic of the prompt  
This use of historical reasoning may be found within a well-crafted paragraph or part of an essay that otherwise lacks organization. |

**OR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complexity:</th>
<th>Demonstrating complex understanding might include:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the prompt. (2 points) | • Analyzing the nuance of an issue by explaining the most significant effect of population growth, and noting that other effects were also significant and interrelated  
• Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes  
• Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering evidence that supports an alternate position  
• Explaining relevant and insightful connections across time by discussing population shifts in other periods  
• Explaining how different countries underwent different changes as a result of population growth, or had differing levels of growth |

This demonstration of complex understanding must be part of an argument, not merely a phrase or reference. **Complexity should emerge from the essay’s argumentation and use of evidence, and while it does not have to be present throughout the essay, the complexity point should consist of substantial elaboration.**

---

If response is completely blank, enter - - for all four score categories: A, B, C, and D.
Scoring Notes

Introductory notes:

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently; for example, a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy:** The components of these rubrics require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam responses should be considered first drafts, and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

**Note:** Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

**A. Thesis/Claim (0–1 point)**

Responses earn 1 point by responding to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis or claim about the most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800, with a clear line of reasoning for making that claim.

Responses earn 1 point by responding to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis that establishes a line of reasoning about the topic. To earn this point the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt rather than simply restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must suggest at least one main line of argument development or establish the analytic categories of the argument.

The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion, which does not have to be contained in the first or last paragraph.

The thesis is not required to encompass the entirety of the period, but it must identify a relevant development or developments within the period.

**Examples of acceptable theses:**

- “The most significant effect of population growth in Europe during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries was that it contributed to industrialization by expanding urban areas and providing more labor for factories.” (The response makes a clear and historically defensible claim that the most significant effect of population growth in the 18th century was the Industrial Revolution, and it establishes a line of reasoning as to why the Industrial Revolution was the most significant effect of population growth.)
- “The most significant effect of this population growth was the growth of the middle-low class because it resulted in lasting economic changes such as a greater amount of farming, social reform, and focus on something other than the high nobility.” (The response makes a clear and historically defensible claim in the thesis that the most significant effect of population growth was the rise of the middle class and establishes a line of reasoning as to why the rise of the middle class was significant.)
Question 3 — Long Essay Question (continued)

- “In conclusion, overpopulation in cities caused poor living conditions due to sanitation services not being able to accommodate the population growth.”  (The response makes a clear and historically defensible claim and establishes a line of reasoning as to why the stated development was significant. Although the valuation of poor living conditions is not explicitly identified as the most significant effect of population growth, such sentiment is implicit. The response also demonstrates that a thesis may appear in the conclusion.)

- “The most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700-1800 was the growth and modernization of countries, who were able to establish colonies to grow more foods.” (Although the linkage is indirect between population growth, modernization, and colonization, the response makes a historically defensible claim and leads to a line of reasoning.)

Examples of unacceptable theses:

- “The most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700-1800 was disease.” (While the statement makes an acceptable claim, it does not offer a line of reasoning as to why disease was significant.)

- “The most significant effect Europe’s growing population had was its implications on hygiene and medical advancement.” (While the statement makes an acceptable claim, it does not offer a line of reasoning as to why hygienic and medical advancements were significant.)

B. Contextualization (0–1 point)

Responses earn 1 point by describing a broader historical context relevant to the prompt. To earn this point the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occurred before or during or continued after the time frame of 1700 to 1800. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or a reference.

To earn the point the essay must accurately describe a context relevant to population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800.

Examples might include the following, with appropriate elaboration:

- The Commercial Revolution
- The Industrial Revolution
- The Agricultural Revolution
- The spread of Enlightenment ideas
- The Scientific Revolution
- Prior depopulation due to bubonic plague

Examples of acceptable contextualization:

- “In the period of 1700-1800 most if not all European countries were practicing mercantilism, where countries have colonies which bring in money from new lands shaping markets and economies. This in turn primed Europe for large scale population growth, because it gave the potential for new markets.” (The response relates a prior commercial development to the topic of population growth in Europe in the 1700s.)
Question 3 — Long Essay Question (continued)

• “With the introduction of new and improved agriculture techniques, such as the use of fertilizer and Jethro Tull’s iron plow, as well as an improved understanding of medicine thanks to names like Harvey, Paracelus, and Vesuvius, the population of Europe grew steadily during the period 1700-1800. (The response relates relevant prior developments in agriculture to the topic of population growth in Europe in the 1700s.)

• “In Europe, the period of 1700 to 1800 was characterized by rapid industrialization all over the continent. This industrialization led to the establishment of factories and mass production, which, coupled with population, brought tons of people to urban centers and led to the development of cities. The move to cities had extremely significant effects on the lives of Europeans.” (The response relates a prior demographic development to the topic of population growth in Europe in the 1700s.)

Note: In order to earn this point the response should clearly connect the relevant contextual information to the topic. A mere passing reference to another event or time period does not suffice for this point.

Examples of unacceptable contextualization:

• “During the eighteenth to nineteenth century in Europe, the continent was prospering because of the industrial revolution. This revolution allowed the work that was once done by hand to be done by machines. This meant that once cumbersome jobs became much easier. Farming became more efficient and goods were able to be mass produced much more easily. In the advent of the Industrial Revolution, people began to migrate to Europe in order to seek better jobs and a more stable lifestyle.” (While the response refers to potentially relevant context about industrialization and demographic shifts, it does not link any of these historical developments to population growth in the 1700s.)

• “Population growth, and the expansion of nations, during the 18th and 19th centuries is what truly pushed the Europe toward the modern era. Before the industrialization and urbanization that happened during that period, life, for most, was about survival.” (While the response refers to potentially relevant context about quotidian life before the Industrial Revolution, it does not link this to population growth in the 1700s.)

C. Evidence (0–2 points)

Evidence

Responses earn 1 point by providing at least two specific examples of evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt. Responses can earn this point without earning the point for a thesis statement. To earn this point the response must identify specific historical examples of evidence relevant to the topic of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800. These examples of evidence must be different from the information used to earn the point for contextualization.

Typically, statements credited as contextualization will be more general statements that place an argument or a significant portion of it in a broader context. Statements credited as evidence will typically be more specific information.
Examples of evidence used might include:
- Urbanization
- Enclosure
- Demographic shifts
- Public health
- Political turmoil
- European marriage pattern
- Class consciousness

Examples of successful use of evidence:
- “The problem of famine in 18th Century occurred because the food supply could not keep up with population growth.” (Response provides accurate evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt.)
- “Industrialization caused by the growth created a much more wealthy and prominent middle class, one which would aid the economy greatly as consumerism rose due to the large output of goods.” (Response provides accurate evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt.)

Note: These statements could be credited as evidence supporting an argument if their placement in the essay or additional connective language made it clear that they were being offered in support of a particular point.

OR

Supports an Argument

Responses earn 2 points if they support an argument in response to the prompt using specific and relevant examples of evidence. To earn the second point, the response must use specific historical evidence to support an argument regarding population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800.

Examples of successfully supporting an argument with evidence:
- “Population grew as the industrial revolution emerged and with the surge in population, the capitalistic world of trade & economy seemed to grow. The growth of the population led to more industries and more consumer goods. Factories mass-produced products which decreased the products value and allowed less wealthier people (to) buy it without spending their life savings.” (Response successfully uses evidence to support an argument linking population growth to consumerism and capitalism.)
- “In addition, factories were unsanitary and lacked safety measures for workers, meaning countless died from working in the dangerous working conditions. This led to the emergence of labor unions and ultimately political acts after 1800 such as the Factory Acts in England in the early 1800s.” (Response successfully uses evidence to support an argument.)

D. Analysis and Reasoning (0–2 points)

Historical Reasoning

Responses earn 1 point by using historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument concerning the most significant effect of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800. To earn this point the response must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument, although the reasoning might be uneven, imbalanced, or inconsistent.
Examples of using historical reasoning skills:

- Establishes a causal relationship between population growth and an effect of that growth and follows through on that relationship in a coherent argument. For example, a response may point to the causal relationship between the Enclosure Act and rural unemployment which, in turn, spurred migration to industrializing cities where jobs were abundant, and which led to stronger economies.

- Gives a broad category of effects of population growth and then develops subcategories/examples in order to support a broader argument. For example, a response that identifies urbanization as the most significant effect of population growth may develop an argument about how that population-growth-fueled urbanization led to concerns over wages, social mobilization, and political parties.

- Describes change over time, elaborating on the changes and continuities that occurred over the period covered by the topic of the prompt. For example, a response may develop the claim that stresses familial continuity despite significant changes over time, such as the deterioration of living conditions and outbreaks of disease.

- This use of historical reasoning may be found within a well-crafted paragraph or part of a response that otherwise lacks organization.

OR

Complexity

Responses earn 2 points by demonstrating a complex understanding of the effects of population growth in Europe within the period 1700–1800.

Demonstrating complex understanding might include:

- Analyzing the nuance of an issue by explaining the most significant effect of population growth, and noting that other effects were also significant and interrelated

- Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes

- Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering evidence that supports an alternate position

- Explaining relevant and insightful connections across time by discussing population shifts in other periods

- Explaining how different countries underwent different changes as a result of population growth, or had differing levels of growth

Note: This demonstration of complex understanding must be part of an argument, not merely a phrase or reference. Complexity should emerge from the essay’s argumentation and use of evidence, and while it does not have to be present throughout the essay, the complexity point should consist of substantial elaboration.
Examples demonstrating complexity:

- The response analyzes the nuance of 18th-century population growth by explaining that while urbanization was its most significant effect, other effects such as the privileging of leisure, a new consumer economy, and a change to gender roles were also significant and interrelated.

- The response confirms the validity of the argument that sociopolitical development was the most significant effect of 18th-century population growth by supporting the claim with variegated but linked discussions of industrialization, including the defining of the modern class system and the creation of the new consumer culture of the late 17th century.

- The response explains how different countries or European regions underwent different changes as a result of population growth, or had differing levels of growth. For example, the response juxtaposes Great Britain’s ability to meet the demand of its growing population through increased industrial production with Ireland’s inability to industrialize fast enough to meet the demand of its growing population, resulting in the Irish potato famine.

- The response qualifies or modifies the argument that both the demand for and supply of new products and materials was the most significant effect of 18th-century population growth by considering evidence that supports an alternate position: that there were negative impacts of population growth such as public health problems, women forced into prostitution, and artisans displaced by industrialization.
As food and agriculture production increased and disease decreased, the population in many European states began to rise. The agricultural revolution made farming more effective and exploration made food easier to find and more varied. More knowledge about disease and medicine also caused a decrease in disease. Although there were some negative effects from the population growth, it is clear there are more social effects as seen in urbanization, poverty, class, and industrialization.

As the population rose, the economy began to change. There were more people who were poor or did not have places to live, and there were more capitalist ways around society. As the population rose, there was more of a role on the economy there for new ways to earn money came about like investment. New ideas about the economy also emerged like Adam Smith’s laizze faire idea. All these economic changes also made social changes.

As the population grew, people began to migrate from rural settings to urban settings. This was a large social change—a large migration of people and a big change in society. Jobs were changing, and new social classes were emerging due to the change in
The workforce. People worked in factories terms and so many other wide variety of jobs. The large move to cities caused over crowding which led to sanitation problems. This was a big social issue. One response to this was the Chadwick report responding to these horrible conditions on flies.

As the population increased so did the amount of people in the lower classes. Poverty caused the social atmosphere in cities to be dangerous. Ideas came about on how to help these poverty stricken people. One of these can be seen in today's socialism. Like Blanc who stressed the ideas of employment for everyone. Diminishing the population of people in poverty. Without jobs many people especially women turned to prostitution as there source of income.

Because as the population rose and women were needing jobs, they had to turn to something getting a job for a woman was much harder than it was for a man.

The population was increasing there for energy was being used more and at a faster rate. In Britain the amount of wood was becoming very minimal and there was a new need for a alternative source of energy. This caused industrialization along with support from the government new ways to get energy were being discovered causing
Social changes in the workforce people began to work less on farms and more in factories.

As the population increased many changes occurred. The most prevalent one was the social changes especially in the workplace. Poverty rose, more people worked in factories and people began to live more in cities where they had more opportunities to be successful.
The commercialization of agriculture through the Agricultural Revolution was responsible for the population growth between 1700 and 1800. There could be more crops harvested from the same area which allowed the creation of a surplus of food, facilitating growth in population. Nations were also able to expand trade both domestically and overseas with the surplus, diversifying their economies and marketplaces. The most significant effect of population growth between 1700 and 1800 was the Consumer Revolution.

Before the Agricultural Revolution and 18th-century population growth, many nations relied on subsistence farming. Once agricultural became commercialized, there was population growth along with surplus that nations traded. All of these new, growing populations demanded. These nations benefited of the trade and soon began to industrialize as a means to keep up the trade. Industrialization brought an even greater surplus of not only crops but now manufactured goods. These goods were traded with overseas colonies in the Americas and Asia. A benefit of this trade was the influx of new products and goods from other lands, spanning a new taste for them. This is the Consumer Revolution as now having goods from the Arabian Peninsula, China, or India was an everyday part of
Nations sought out to reinforce their industries and trade networks to keep the Revolution going. The Revolution is also responsible for the ongoing expansion of European colonies during that time.
During this period of time, new monarchies were becoming more powerful. Trade was increasing due to the age of exploration. The population growth in Europe occurred because of the trade of goods in the age of exploration, and the immigration and importation of slaves during the age of exploration. Because of the trade of goods and the importation of slaves, the population growth was significantly periods 1700-1800.

A major effect of population growth from 1700-1800 was the trading of goods during the age of exploration. With the interests of wanted spices, explorers were sent to find them. One major explorer was [Columbus] who discovered the USA when searching for these goods. Even though this trade spread choleras in many places, many Europeans benefited. Medicinal goods were learned and taken to Europe along with clothing and spices. These goods affected the population growth in Europe because it improved Europe's quality of life, which ultimately led to a population growth.

The population growth between 1700-1800 occurred because of the importation of slaves to Europe. One explorer that helped this importation...
Was Prince Henry the Navigator? He sailed along Africa and took many slaves from there. He used this with the astrolabes and caravel mapping.

Because of the trading of goods and importation of slaves during the age of exploration, there was a significant effect on population growth between 1700-1800. As Europeans were taking more and more spices & clothing, the quality of life increased the population along with adding diversity to the European life. The importation of slaves and their children also made the pop. grow significantly. Without these factors, Europe couldn't have gained and learned more about the economy of the world such as trading and mercantilism. This population growth was vital to kickstart the Industrialization in Europe.
Question 3 — Long Essay Question

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain spelling and grammatical errors.

Overview

The LEQ asks students to formulate a thesis/argument in response to a prompt about a particular historical development or episode in European history. In 2019 each LEQ asked students to determine the most significant effects of historical events or processes in European history. LEQs require students to formulate arguments, utilize evidence, address historical context, and display an ability to employ historical reasoning skills. In the case of LEQ 3, responses were expected to demonstrate historical reasoning, specifically analyzing the most significant effect of European population growth during the 18th century. Responses were expected to identify the single most important effect and provide a line of reasoning concerning their claims to this effect (Key Concepts 2.4 I and 2.4 III). Responses were expected to describe a broader historical context relevant to the effects of 18th-century population growth, provide specific examples of evidence relevant to the prompt, and support an argument in the response using specific and relevant examples of evidence. Finally, responses were expected to frame or structure an argument about 18th-century population growth using historical reasoning skills (e.g., comparison, causation, change and continuity over time) and demonstrate a complex understanding of the population growth using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument. Responses were assessed on the extent to which they performed in the following four categories: thesis and/or claim, contextualization, evidence, and analysis and reasoning.

Sample: 3A
Score: 6

The response earned 1 point for the thesis in the conclusion by making a historically defensible claim that the most significant effect of the population growth was social changes in the workforce, and it establishes a clear line of reasoning related to working in factories, moving to the cities, and creating opportunities to be successful. The response earned 1 point for contextualization by relating the historical context of the Agricultural Revolution and more effective farming and the decrease of disease. The response earned 2 points for providing specific evidence responding to the prompt in the form of details about laissez-faire economics, factory jobs, and sanitation problems. The response also uses this evidence to support the argument that the population grew and people moved to the cities in order to get jobs, which, in turn, led to sanitation problems. The response earned 2 points for historical reasoning and complexity by framing a cause-and-effect argument that population increases led to the social effects of factory jobs, urbanization, and urban ills. The response demonstrates complex understanding by analyzing multiple effects of population growth in Europe during the 18th century.

Sample: 3B
Score: 3

The response earned 1 point in the introduction for making a historically defensible claim that the most significant effect of the population growth was economic inequality, and it establishes a clear line of reasoning related to the impact of inequalities such as the harsh working conditions of a large working class. The response did not earn the point for contextualization because even though it alludes to new medicines and technologies fueling population growth, these are merely phrases or passing references. The response earned 2 points for providing specific evidence in support of the prompt in the form of details about the creation of a large class of working poor and the poor living and working conditions that they endured and using the
Question 3 — Long Essay Question (continued)

evidence to support the argument that population growth resulted in economic inequality. The response earned 1 point for historical reasoning by framing a cause-and-effect argument that economic inequality resulted in the decline of living standards for the poor. The response does not attempt to demonstrate complex understanding of the effects of population growth in Europe during the 18th century.

Sample: 3C
Score: 1

The response did not earn the point for the thesis because while it identifies factors that caused 18th-century population growth such as maritime commerce and the slave trade, it does not make a historically defensible claim about the effects of population growth in the 18th century. Although the response attempts a thesis with the concluding sentence, by identifying the Industrial Revolution as the most significant effect of population growth, it does not establish a line of reasoning. The response earned 1 point for contextualization by linking the benefits of trade to an improvement in the European quality of life. The response did not earn points for evidence because it fails to provide relevant examples of historical evidence in response to the prompt and did not support an argument using specific examples of historical evidence. The response did not earn points for historical reasoning because it frames an argument that does not address the prompt because it focuses on the causes of population growth rather than the effects. The response attempts to provide complexity by establishing connections across time, but these are not relevant to the prompt.