AP Computer Science Principles Explore Performance Task

Scoring Guidelines

© 2018 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org

AP[®] Computer Science Principles — Explore Performance Task 2019 Scoring Guidelines and Notes

Reporting Category	Task	Scoring Criteria	Decision Rules	Scoring Notes
Row 1 Using Development Processes and Tools	COMP. ARTIFACT	 The computational artifact: Identifies the computing innovation. AND Provides an illustration, or explanation of the computing innovation's intended purpose, function, or effect. 	 The written response can be used to aid the understanding of how the computational artifact illustrates, represents, or explains the computing innovation's intended purpose, function, or effect. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: there is no artifact; or the artifact is not a computational artifact; or the innovation identified in the artifact does not match the innovation described in the written response; or the artifact does not identify the innovation clearly; or the artifact does not illustrate, represent or explain the innovation's intended purpose, function, or effect; or the artifact illustrates a feature of the innovation instead of the purpose, function, or effect; or the computational artifact doesn't clearly illustrate, represent, or explain as required in the scoring criteria AND the written response describes the innovation's intended purpose, function, or effect; or explain as required in the scoring criteria AND the written response describes the innovation's intended purpose, function, or effect, or 	 A computational artifact is something created by a human using a computer and can be, but is not limited to, a program, an image, an audio, a video, a presentation, or a Web page file. The computational artifact could solve a problem, show creative expression, or provide a viewer with new insight or knowledge. A computing innovation is an innovation that includes a computer or program code as an integral part of its functionality.
Row 2 Analyzing Impact of Computing	RESPONSE 2A	 States a fact about the correctly identified computing innovation's intended purpose OR function. 	 Do NOT award a point if: the identified innovation is not a computing innovation; or the written statement gives an effect (which is required for the scoring criteria in Row 3, not Row 2). 	 A computing innovation is an innovation that includes a computer or program code as an integral part of its functionality. Computing innovations may be physical computing innovations such as Google glasses or self-driving cars, non-physical computer software like a cell phone app, or computing concepts such as e- commerce or social networking which rely on physical transactions conducted on the Internet. Purpose means the intended goal or objective of the innovation. Function means how the innovation works (e.g., consumes and produces data).

Reporting Category	Task	Scoring Criteria	Decision Rules	Scoring Notes
Row 3 Analyzing Impact of Computing	RESPONSE 2C	 Identifies at least ONE effect of the identified or described computing innovation. 	 The effect does not need to be specifically identified as beneficial or harmful. The effect must be identified, but it doesn't have to be described to earn the point. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the described innovation is not a computing innovation; or the identified effect is actually a purpose for using the computing innovation (e.g., allows me to make videos to share with my family); or the identified effect is actually a function or use of the computing innovation (e.g., self-driving cars can drive me to work); or the identifiedeffect is not a result of the use of the innovation as intended (e.g., a self-driving car is not intended to crash, therefore, its exposure to hacking is notan effect of its intended use). 	An effect may be an impact, result, outcome, etc.
Row 4 Analyzing Impact of Computing	RESPONSE 2C	 Identifies a beneficial effect of the identified or described computing innovation. AND Identifies a harmful effect of the identified or described computing innovation. 	 Responses that earn this point will also earn the point for Row 3. Responses should be evaluated on the rationale provided in the response not on the interpretation or inference on the part of the scorer. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the described innovation is not a computing innovation; or the response is missing the adjectives harmful or beneficial (or synonyms thereof); or the response is missing a plausible beneficial effect; or the identified effect is actually a purpose for using the computing innovation (e.g., allows me to make videos to share with my family); or the identified effect is actually a function or use of the computing innovation (e.g., self-driving cars can drive me to work); or the identified effect is not a result of the use of the innovation as intended (e.g., a self-driving car is not intended to crash, therefore, its exposure to hacking is not an effect of its intended use). 	 An effect may be an impact, result, outcome, etc. Beneficial and/or harmful effects are contextualand interpretive; identification includes both the classification of the effect as beneficial or harmful and justification for that classification.
Row 5 Analyzing Impact of Computing	RESPONSE 2C	• Explains how ONE of the identified effects relates to society, economy, or culture.	 Responses that earn the point for this intended use). Responses that earn the point for this row must have earned the point for Row 3. Responses should be evaluated on the rationale provided in the response not on the interpretation or inference on the part of the scorer. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the described innovation is not a computing innovation; or the explanation does not connect one of the effects to society, economy, or culture. 	 Effects need to be related to society, economy, or culture. Groups or individuals can be used to represent society. Examples of effects include but are not limited to: The innovation and impact of social media online access varies in different countries and in different socioeconomic groups (EK 7.4.1A) Mobile, wireless, and networked computing have an impact on innovation throughout the world (EK 7.4.1B) The global distribution of computing resources raises issues of equity, access and power (EK 7.4.1C) Groups and individuals are affected by the "digital divide" (EK 7.4.1D) Networks and infrastructure are supported by both commercial and governmental initiatives (EK 7.4.1E)

Reporting Category	Task	Scoring Criteria	Decision Rules	Scoring Notes
Row 6 Analyzing Data and Information	RESPONSE 2D	 Identifies the data that the identified or described computing innovation uses AND Explains how that data is consumed, produced, OR transformed. 	 Responses should be evaluated on the rationale provided in the response not on the interpretation or inference on the part of the scorer. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the described innovation is not a computing innovation; or the response does not state the specific name of the data or simply says "data"; or the response confuses or conflates the innovation with the data: response fails to explain what happens to the data; or the response confuses the source of the data with the data. 	 Data types include: integers, numbers, Booleans, text, image, video, audio, signals. Data that infer these types like fingerprints, temperature, music, length, pictures, etc. are allowed. Data collection devices (e.g. sensors, cameras, etc.) are not data. Large data sets include data such as transactions, measurements, texts, sounds, images, and videos. (EK 3.2.2A)
Row 7 Analyzing Data and Information	RESPONSE 2D	 Identify one data storage, data privacy, OR data security concern related to the identified or described computing innovation. 	 Responses should be evaluated on the rationale provided in the response not on the interpretation or inference on the part of the scorer. Responses can earn this point even if they refer to the data in a general without specifically identifying the data being used. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the described innovation is not a computing innovation; or the response identifies or describes a concern that is not related to data. 	
Row 8 Finding and Evaluating Information	RESPONSE 2E & ARTIFACT / WRITTEN RESPONSE	 References, through in-text citation, at least 3 different sources. 	The in-text citations can appear in any text included in the artifact or the written response. The in-text citations may be oral in the computational artifact. Do NOT award a point if any one of the following is true: the response contains a list of sources only, no in-text citations; or the response contains less than three in-text citations; or there are not three in-text citations with corresponding references.	 In-text citations may be provided in any way that acknowledges the source: According to" or "As written in the New York Times" Parenthetical Footnotes Numerical superscripts with corresponding footnote Number system with a corresponding reference