## Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-D/3-D/Drawing Art and Design Skills</th>
<th>Materials, Processes, and Ideas</th>
<th>Writing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual evidence of <strong>advanced</strong> 2-D/3-D/Drawing skills.</td>
<td><strong>Visual relationships</strong> among materials, processes, and ideas are <strong>clearly evident</strong> and demonstrate synthesis.</td>
<td><strong>Written evidence identifies</strong> materials, processes, and ideas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Rationale for Score

**2-D/3-D/Drawing Art and Design Skills**

The work shows visual evidence of advanced 3-D skills, as defined by the use of the elements and principles of art and design. A highly developed understanding of repetition, rhythm, and space, particularly the unoccupied space created by “repetition of contour sections,” is evident through purposeful 3-D compositions. The skeletal structures (works 1 and 2), as well as the architectural construction (work 3), challenge the traditional understanding of space by blending the disparate frameworks into thematic commonality. Throughout the entire portfolio, the effective use of value helps to demonstrate hierarchy by highlighting a variety of forms in layers of significance. Overall, the work displays an advanced level of skills when considering the elements and principles of art and design.

**Materials, Processes, and Ideas**

The visual relationships among materials, processes, and ideas are evident and demonstrate synthesis. The parallels between the organic framework of rib cages and the structural qualities in architecture are defined in the written statement as “volume encompassed” and serve the idea. Furthermore, the mention of “deconstructed landscape” (work 3) and “twisting the form of a spinal column into something unnatural yet organic” (work 2), helps to reveal the process as related to the materials and ideas. In all the works, there is no mention of adhesives. Yet, written evidence states an “underlying geometric structure” coupled with a “mutated spinal form” (work 2), which suggests flexibility in the approach of both ideation and implementation. The contrasting design choices “an erratic exposed structure” in relation to “the orderliness of interior volumes” (work 3) is thematically present in all the works. The viewer relates the human body (exposed structure) to the inner consciousness (interior volumes), and this comparison demonstrate the synthesis of materials, processes, and ideas.

**Writing**

The written evidence identifies materials, processes, and ideas.
Work 1
Selected Works

Height: 16 inches
Width: 10 inches
Depth: 8 inches

Ideas(s): volume encompassed by ribcages using hierarchical structures

Material(s): cardboard, basswood sticks

Process(es): playscape assembled with laser-cut pieces and wetted basswood strips
Work 2
Selected Works

Height: 31 inches
Width: 5 inches
Depth: 4 inches

Ideas(s): twisting the form of a spinal column into something unnatural yet organic

Material(s): foam core, paper

Process(es): an underlying geometric structure and repetition of contour sections create the mutated spinal form
Work 3
Selected Works

**Height**: 15 inches

**Width**: 26 inches

**Depth**: 20 inches

**Ideas(s)**: An erratic exposed structure that contrasts with the orderliness of interior volumes

**Material(s)**: chipboard, basswood sticks

**Process(es)**: a deconstructed landscape designed to grant the inhabitants a stimulating experience