

2024



---

# AP<sup>®</sup> United States History

## Scoring Guidelines Set 2

**Question 1: Short Answer Secondary Source****3 points****General Scoring Notes**

- Each point is earned independently.
- **Accuracy:** These scoring guidelines require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.
- **Describe:** Provide the relevant characteristics of a specified topic. Description requires more than simply mentioning an isolated term.
- **Explain:** Provide information about how or why a historical development or process occurs or how or why a relationship exists.

---

**[a]** Briefly describe one major difference between Anderson’s and Brennan’s historical interpretations of social change in the 1960s. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Anderson focuses on social activism, whereas Brennan focuses on conservatism.
- Anderson argues that protests were central to social change in the 1960s, whereas Brennan argues that conservative activism caused social change in the 1960s.
- Anderson says the actions of the political left defined the 1960s, while Brennan says the actions of the political right were important.

---

**[b]** Briefly explain how one development from 1945 to 1980 that is not explicitly mentioned in the excerpts could be used to support Anderson’s argument about social change in the 1960s. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Martin Luther King Jr. led a variety of protests against racial discrimination as part of the African American Civil Rights movement.
  - Black and White student activists collaborated closely in the African American Civil Rights movement working together for social change.
  - Debates among civil rights activists about the efficacy of nonviolence increased in the second half of the decade as other civil rights groups, like the Black Panthers, became more prominent.
  - Feminists who participated in the counterculture of the 1960s rejected many of the social, economic, and political values of their parents’ generation.
  - The sexual revolution of the 1960s led to major changes in sexual norms, including the Gay Rights movement.
  - Americans debated the appropriate power of the executive branch in conducting foreign and military policy.
-

- Student activists led movements in the early part of the 1960s against nuclear weapons and in the middle and later parts of the decade against the Vietnam War.

---

**[c]** Briefly explain how one event or development from 1945 to 1980 that is not explicitly mentioned in the excerpts could be used to support Brennan’s argument about social change in the 1960s. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The election of Ronald Reagan in 1980 demonstrated the rise of conservatism.
- The rise of the New Right demonstrated conservatives’ desire for significant tax cuts and the deregulation of many industries.
- Conservatives sought to limit the role of the federal government.
- Anticomunist sentiment during the Cold War contributed to the growth of conservatism.
- In the 1960s, conservatives challenged liberal laws and court decisions.
- In the 1960s, conservatives challenged perceived moral and cultural decline.
- Conservatives clashed with liberals over social and cultural issues, the power of the federal government, race, and movements for greater individual rights.

---

**Total for question 1 3 points**

**Question 2: Short Answer Primary Source****3 points****General Scoring Notes**

- Each point is earned independently.
- **Accuracy:** These scoring guidelines require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.
- **Describe:** Provide the relevant characteristics of a specified topic. Description requires more than simply mentioning an isolated term.
- **Explain:** Provide information about how or why a historical development or process occurs or how or why a relationship exists.

---

**[a]** Briefly describe one historical context that might explain the creation of the image. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Social reformers advocated against alcohol consumption.
- The Second Great Awakening influenced moral and social reforms.
- During the Age of Reform, Americans formed new voluntary organizations, such as the Temperance League, to change individual behaviors and improve society.
- The growth of definitions of domestic ideals that emphasized the separation of public and private spheres promoted women as moral leaders of society.
- Temperance activists used religious and moral arguments in opposing the consumption of alcohol.

---

**[b]** Briefly explain how the image reflected one continuity in ideas about reform from 1800 to 1874. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Beginning with the Second Great Awakening, religious ideas influenced efforts to reform society.
- Beginning during the market revolution, concerns about Americans' consumption of alcohol continued throughout this period.
- Women believed they had a special role as leaders of reform movements throughout this period.
- The idea of separate spheres helped justify women's moral role in leading reform efforts.
- The growth of the women's rights movement beginning in the 1840s led to concern about the effect of alcohol abuse on women and families.

**[c]** Briefly explain how one previous belief about reform continued from 1875 to 1940. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The temperance movement culminated with the passage of the Eighteenth Amendment, beginning Prohibition.
- Progressive women believed that they could create a more moral society and took a major role in reform movements, such as those to help immigrant populations.
- Jane Addams and other women reformers believed they could improve society, so they founded settlement houses.
- Women continued to advocate for greater rights through the suffrage movement and secured the passage of Nineteenth Amendment.

---

**Total for question 2 3 points**

**Question 3: Short Answer No Stimulus****3 points****General Scoring Notes**

- Each point is earned independently.
- **Accuracy:** These scoring guidelines require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.
- **Describe:** Provide the relevant characteristics of a specified topic. Description requires more than simply mentioning an isolated term.
- **Explain:** Provide information about how or why a historical development or process occurs or how or why a relationship exists.

---

**[a]** Briefly describe one United States government policy from 1783 to 1840. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The United States remained neutral during the war between Great Britain and France.
- The United States avoided permanent foreign alliances.
- The United States created a system for admitting new states through the Northwest Ordinance.
- The United States expanded west by purchasing Louisiana.
- The Missouri Compromise drew a line determining whether new states were free or slave states.
- The United States formed treaties with Native Americans in an attempt to gain control of more land.
- The federal government fought wars with Native American nations to gain control of more land.
- The federal government created the First National Bank.
- The federal government assumed the Revolutionary War debts of the states.
- Jefferson and Hamilton made a deal to place the national capital in Washington, D.C.

---

**[b]** Briefly explain one similarity OR difference in how two groups responded to political change in the United States from 1783 to 1840. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Democratic-Republicans and Democrats both resisted the centralization of the federal government.
- Black and White abolitionists opposed the expansion of slavery.
- The Federalist Party encouraged a stronger central government, while the Democratic-Republican Party supported a weaker central government.

- While some groups argued that slavery was protected by the Constitution, others sought to limit its growth or end it altogether.
- Advocates for western expansion championed efforts to use federal power to forcibly remove Native Americans, while Native Americans and others resisted their efforts through warfare and the court system.
- The Democratic Party formed to oppose federal involvement in the economy, while the Whig Party formed to encourage government promotion of economic development.
- As immigration to the United States grew, recent immigrants from Ireland and Germany attempted to preserve elements of their language and culture, while nativists tried to limit immigrants' political power.

---

**[c]** Briefly explain how one historical development from 1840 to 1860 contributed to regional divisions. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The growing power of the abolition movement in the North contributed to political conflict with the South.
- The Compromise of 1850 increased sectional tensions over government protections for slavery.
- The Kansas-Nebraska Act increased sectional tension and led to Bleeding Kansas.
- The passage of the Fugitive Slave Act intensified Northern abolitionists' opposition to Southern measures to protect and expand slavery.
- The Mexican-American war intensified regional divisions on whether slavery should be allowed to expand into western territories.
- Southern states seceded from the United States following the election of Abraham Lincoln.

---

**Total for question 3 3 points**

**Question 4: Short Answer No Stimulus****3 points****General Scoring Notes**

- Each point is earned independently.
- **Accuracy:** These scoring guidelines require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, responses may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.
- **Describe:** Provide the relevant characteristics of a specified topic. Description requires more than simply mentioning an isolated term.
- **Explain:** Provide information about how or why a historical development or process occurs or how or why a relationship exists.

---

**[a]** Briefly describe one economic development from 1865 to 1900. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The number of immigrant workers in the United States increased.
- Corporate trusts emerged as a new business structure.
- New technologies increased the efficiency of industrial production.
- New technologies contributed to new processes for the production of goods such as steel.
- Federal policies encouraged the growth of railroads.
- Some policy makers looked beyond United States borders for new markets.
- Labor unions emerged for improving working conditions.

---

**[b]** Briefly explain one similarity OR difference in how two groups responded to economic change from 1865 to 1900. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- Populists and some Progressives called for increased federal regulation of the economy.
- Some groups supported a laissez-faire approach to government involvement with the economy, while other groups called for increased economic regulation.
- Industrial capitalists argued that their accumulation of wealth was the result of natural forces [Social Darwinism], while laborers advocated for workers' rights through unions.
- Socialists and some Progressive journalists called for ending economic inequality.



- [c]** Briefly explain how one historical development from 1900 to 1940 contributed to government involvement in the economy. **1 point**

**Examples that earn this point include the following:**

- The Great Depression contributed to the passage of the New Deal.
- The expanded extraction of natural resources led preservations and conservationists to push for the establishment of national parks.
- The United States entry into the First World War led the federal government to ration food and other supplies to support the war effort.
- The rapid expansion of corporate control over the economy contributed to efforts at trust-busting by leaders such as President Theodore Roosevelt.
- The growth of corporate monopolies led Progressive political leaders to seek expanded government regulation of businesses.

---

**Total for question 3 3 points**

**Question 1: Document-Based Question, 20th Century Belief About Threats to Society**

**7 points**

**General Scoring Notes**

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently; for example, a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy:** The components of these rubrics require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, essays may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam essays should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

Evaluate the extent to which beliefs about threats to the United States shaped society from 1917 to 1945.

| Reporting Category  | Scoring Criteria   |   |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Row A</b><br/><b>Thesis/Claim</b><br/><br/><b>[0-1 points]</b></p>  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p> | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.</p>  |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>   |  |   |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are not historically defensible.</li> <li>• Only restate or rephrase the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not respond to the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not establish a line of reasoning.</li> <li>• Are overgeneralized.</li> </ul>   |  | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide a historically defensible thesis or claim about the extent to which beliefs about threats to the United States shaped society from 1917 to 1945. The thesis or claim must either provide some indication of the reason for making that claim OR establish categories of the argument.</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Provide a restatement of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The beliefs about perceived threats to United States society changed from 1917 to 1945.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide a historically defensible claim, but do not establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“There were a lot more security threats to the United States after 1917.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Do not respond to the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Civil Rights movement changed US society a lot between 1917 and 1945.”</i></li> </ul> |  | <p><b>Examples that earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Threats to the United States greatly influenced many Americans to support isolationism so as to minimize the risk of becoming involved in wars in Europe and to limit the influence of perceived foreign radicals.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt with analytic categories</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Concerns about threats to the United States in regard to immigration, treaties and wars, led to a more isolationist society in the 1920s and later a more interventionist one in the 1940s.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Fears about increased immigration shaped United States society between 1917 and 1945.”</i> [Minimally acceptable thesis/claim]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The thesis or claim must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion [which may not be limited to the first or last paragraphs].</li> <li>• The thesis or claim must identify a relevant development[s] in the period, although it is not required to encompass the entire period.</li> </ul>  |  |   |

| Reporting Category                               | Scoring Criteria  |  |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Row B</b><br/><b>Contextualization</b></p> | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.</p>   |
| <p>[0-1 points]</p>                              | <p><b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b></p>  |  |
|  | <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide context that is not relevant to the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide a passing phrase or reference.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Do not provide context relevant to the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“During the Progressive Era the government introduced many reforms like food safety.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“There were a lot of wars between 1917 and 1945.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately describe a context relevant to beliefs about threats to the United States from 1917 to 1945.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of relevant context that earn this point include the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Washington’s Farewell Address/neutrality</li> <li>• “Closing of the Frontier”/Turner Thesis</li> <li>• Social Darwinism</li> <li>• Spanish-American War</li> <li>• Chinese Exclusion Act</li> <li>• Sinking of the Lusitania</li> <li>• Cold War</li> <li>• Second Red Scare</li> <li>• Civil Rights movement</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of acceptable contextualization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“In 1796, George Washington warned that United States involvement in foreign affairs could negatively impact the United States. This belief proved influential for the United States public and policymakers into the early twentieth century.”</i></li> <li>• <i>“The United States tried to limit immigration from China with the Chinese Exclusion Act.”</i> [Minimally acceptable contextualization]</li> </ul> |
|  | <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response must describe broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question that are relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>• To earn this point, the context provided must be more than a phrase or reference.</li> </ul>   |  |

| Reporting Category  | Scoring Criteria   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p>Row C Evidence</p> <p>[0-3 points]</p>   | <b>Evidence from the Documents</b>   |  |  |
|   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>   | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Uses the content of at least <b>three</b> documents to address the <b>topic</b> of the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Supports an <b>argument</b> in response to the prompt using at least <b>four</b> documents.</p> |
|   | <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use evidence from less than three of the documents</li> <li>Misinterpret the content of the document</li> <li>Quote the content of the documents without providing an accompanying description</li> <li>Address documents collectively rather than considering separately the content of each document</li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accurately describe—rather than simply quote—the content from at least three of the documents to address beliefs about threats to the United States from 1917 to 1945.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of describing the content of a document:</b></p> <p><b>Describe evidence from the documents relevant to the topic but do not use that evidence to support an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 1]: <i>“Henry Cabot Lodge says the Congress should have the last say in going to war.”</i></li> <li>[Document 6]: <i>“Kajiwara shows that Japanese Americans celebrated the Fourth of July even when they were interred.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support an argument in response to the prompt by accurately using the content of at least four documents.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of supporting an argument using the content of a document:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 1]: <i>“Lodge opposed the Treaty of Versailles because he feared that foreign interference from the League of Nations would hurt democracy by letting foreign countries tell Americans when they had to fight and die in a war, which reflected a rise in isolationist sentiment.”</i> [Uses evidence from the document to support an argument about the growth of isolationist sentiment in the United States after the First World War]</li> <li>[Document 6]: <i>“Despite the fact the military leaders worried that Japanese Americans could be unpatriotic, they were just as proud to be American as anyone else, even when the government forced them to be imprisoned during the Fourth of July.”</i> [Uses evidence from the document to support an argument about how fears about foreign threats caused many people to be unfairly discriminated against throughout the period between 1917 and 1945]</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To earn two points, the four documents do not have to be used in support of a single argument—they can be used across sub-arguments or to address counterarguments.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <b>Row C</b><br><b>[Continued]</b>   | <b>Evidence beyond the Documents:</b>   |  |
|  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Uses at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence [beyond that found in the documents] relevant to an argument in response to the prompt.</p> |
|  | <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>   |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide evidence that is not relevant to an argument about the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide evidence that is outside the time period or region specified in the prompt.</li> <li>• Repeat information that is specified in the prompt or in any of the documents.</li> <li>• Provide a passing phrase or reference.</li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must use at least one specific piece of historical evidence relevant to the extent to which beliefs about threats to the United States shaped society from 1917 to 1945.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of specific and relevant evidence beyond the documents that earn this point include the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First World War</li> <li>• Woodrow Wilson</li> <li>• Franklin Roosevelt</li> <li>• Attack on Pearl Harbor</li> <li>• Second World War</li> <li>• National Origins Act [1924]</li> <li>• Eugene Debs</li> <li>• Emma Goldman</li> <li>• Industrial Workers of the World [IWW]</li> <li>• <i>Schenk v. United States</i></li> <li>• Occupation of Haiti [1915-1934]</li> <li>• Great Depression</li> <li>• Neutrality Acts</li> <li>• Fascism</li> <li>• The Black Cabinet</li> <li>• “Double V” Campaign</li> <li>• Zimmermann Telegram</li> <li>• Fourteen Points/League of Nations</li> <li>• Palmer Raids</li> <li>• Nativism</li> <li>• Sacco and Vanzetti</li> <li>• Korematsu case</li> <li>• Executive Order 9066</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of evidence beyond the documents relevant to an argument about the prompt:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Despite earlier efforts to avoid becoming involved in international conflicts, the attack on Pearl Harbor brought the United States into the Second World War.”</i> [Provides a piece of evidence not in</li> </ul> |  |

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  | <p>the documents relevant to an argument about how involvement in the Second World War led most Americans to abandon isolationism]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>“In part due to concerns that immigrants would not be able to assimilate and were racially different from White Americans, Congress passed the National Origins Act to restrict immigration from certain countries.”</i> [Provides a piece of evidence not in the documents relevant to an argument about how fears of racial difference influenced United States society]</li></ul> |
|  | <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Typically, statements credited as evidence will be more specific than statements credited as contextualization.</li><li>• To earn this point, the evidence provided must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.</li><li>• To earn this point, the evidence provided must be more than a phrase or reference.</li><li>• The point for evidence beyond the documents may be awarded for evidence that appears in any part of the response.</li></ul> |  |

| Reporting Category  | Scoring Criteria  |   |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Row D</b><br/> <b>Analysis and Reasoning</b><br/><br/> <b>[0-2 points]</b></p>  | <b>Sourcing</b>   |   |
|   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>For at least <b>two</b> documents, explains how or why the document’s point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.</p> |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>   |   |   |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explain sourcing for fewer than two of the documents.</li> <li>Identify the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience but fail to explain how or why it is relevant to an argument.</li> <li>Summarize the content or argument of the document without explaining the relevance of this summary to the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Identify the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience, but do not explain how or why it is relevant to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“Palmer’s purpose [Document 2] was to announce that he was coming for the dangerous Reds and nobody should panic.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Summarize the content of the document without explaining the relevance of this to the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“The historical situation in Document 4 is that Butler says that war is only good for the rich and therefore the United States shouldn’t get involved in the conflicts overseas.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Must explain how or why—rather than simply identifying—the document’s point of view, purpose, historical situation, or audience is relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt for each of the two documents sourced.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable explanation of the relevance of the author’s point of view:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 1]: <i>“As an isolationist senator, Lodge believed that foreign involvement threatened the society of the United States because he thought other countries could decide when the U.S. went to war.”</i> [Connects the point of view of the document to a relevant argument that after the First World War, many Americans thought overseas involvement endangered national security]</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable explanation of the relevance of the author’s purpose:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 4]: <i>“The purpose of Document 4 was to convince Americans to stay out of any new wars in Europe. When Butler was speaking, fascist countries in Europe were rebuilding their militaries and threatening their neighbors. Americans like Butler were disillusioned by the experience of World War I and didn’t want to fight in any more wars.”</i> [Connects the purpose of the document relevant to an argument that isolationist sentiment was strong between 1918 and 1941 due to experience of the First World War]</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable explanation of the relevance of the historical situation of a source:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 6]: <i>“During the Second World War, military and political leaders feared that Japanese Americans could pose a national security threat, and they organized the relocation of large numbers of Japanese Americans to internment camps under the justification of a wartime emergency, which explains why Japanese American like Kajiwara had to celebrate the Fourth of July an internment camp.”</i> [Connects the historical situation of the document relevant to an argument that during wartime Americans feared that people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds could be a security threat]</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable explanation of the relevance of the audience:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Document 2]: <i>“The audience for Palmer’s article included Americans who were concerned that communist activists, especially in the labor movement, were a threat to American society. Palmer sought to rally Americans to support his aggressive actions to arrest and deport suspected radicals, fearing a revolutionary threat to the United States government.”</i> [Provides sourcing regarding the audience of the document relevant to an argument that communism was perceived as a security threat constantly between 1917 and 1945]</li> </ul> |   |



| Row D<br>[continued]                    | Complexity   |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p> | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation and/or effective use of evidence.</p>   |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b> |  |  |
|   |  | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b><br/>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effectively using <b>seven</b> documents to support an argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Explaining how the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience of at least <b>four</b> documents supports an argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using documents and evidence beyond the documents effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that between 1917 and 1945 fears about the perceived threat of communism to United States society consistently influenced United States society. It then extends that argument to examine the period’s links with the Second Red Scare and Cold War anticommunist policies after 1945. [Explains relevant connections across periods]</li> <li>• A response may argue that beliefs about threats that influenced United States society were rarely focused on a single concern but rather combined several of them. It discusses how fears over immigration and religion were central to nativist movements during the 1920s. It also examines how concerns about national security and national identity led Japanese American internment during the Second World War. [Explains multiple themes]</li> <li>• A response may argue that United States society was more influenced by debates about national identity, race, and class than debates about foreign threats themselves between 1917 and 1945. It shows that in Document 2, Palmer’s perspective as a government official encouraged labeling Americans on the political left as foreign and un-American. For Document 3, it shows that Grant’s purpose in promoting immigration restriction was influenced by ideas about racial difference. For Document 4, it shows that Butler’s audience included ordinary Americans who felt taken advantage of by wealthier Americans. And for Document 7, it shows that efforts to end racial discrimination in the United States were as important a purpose for Bethune as winning the Second World War. [Explains how the point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience of at least four documents supports an argument]</li> </ul> |

**Additional Notes:**

- This complex understanding must be part of the argument and may be demonstrated in any part of the response.
- While it is not necessary for this complex understanding to be woven throughout the response, it must be more than merely a phrase or reference.
- To earn a point for complexity by using seven documents in support of an argument, there must be an attempt to use all seven documents to effectively support an argument, but the use of the documents may be unevenly or inconsistently developed, or the document use may be weaker in one or two instances.

## Document Summaries

| Document   | Summary of Content   | Explains the relevance of point of view [POV], purpose, situation, and/or audience by elaborating on examples such as:   |
|--|--|--|
| 1. Henry Cabot Lodge, speech, 1919                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expresses opposition against the Treaty of Versailles</li> <li>Argues that the Treaty of Versailles would harm American politics by becoming involved regularly in foreign conflicts</li> </ul>                                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As a United States senator, Lodge expressed opposition to the League of Nations because he believed that having to regularly be involved in settling foreign conflicts would weaken the United States government. [point of view]</li> <li>The purpose of the speech was to persuade fellow senators to reject the Treaty of Versailles, returning to an isolationist policy that sought to keep the United States out of foreign alliances and safe from the threat of foreign conflicts. [purpose]</li> </ul> |
| 2. A. Mitchell Palmer, “The Case Against the Reds,” 1920     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Argues that revolutionaries are threatening United States society</li> <li>Declares that the Justice Department will act against radicals, especially foreign nationals</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The purpose of the article was to present a rationale for ordering the raids on “aliens” and other actions meant to stop the perceived threat of communist sympathizers. [purpose]</li> <li>As a government official, Palmer expressed many leaders’ belief that it was in the best interest of the country to seek out and suppress radical sentiments and supporters as foreign threats. [point of view]</li> </ul>   |
| 3. Madison Grant, article in the <i>Forum</i> magazine, 1924 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Argues that immigration to the United States has increased for economic reasons</li> <li>Argues that immigrants will lower the standard of living in the United States</li> <li>Argues in favor of immigration restriction</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The purpose of the excerpt was to advocate for numerically restricted immigration to prevent the threat of economic stress that Grant feared would come from a large immigrant population from poorer regions of Europe. [purpose]</li> <li>Grant’s audience was the broader public, whom he was seeking to convince to support immigration restriction and put pressure on political leaders to enact it. [audience]</li> </ul>  |
| 4. Smedley D. Butler, “War is a Racket,” speech, 1935        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Argues that wars are only fought for the benefit of a wealthy few</li> <li>Argues that the United States should remain uninvolved in conflicts that are growing overseas</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During the 1930s, many isolationists argued that the First World War had been fought to produce profits for munitions companies and promoted this fact so as to discourage future threat to the United States through involvement in overseas conflicts. [situation]</li> <li>The purpose of Butler’s speech was to discourage the United States from becoming involved in the conflicts developing overseas with the growing militarism of Germany, Italy, and Japan. [purpose]</li> </ul>                     |
| 5. “Neutrality” political cartoon, 1939                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Depicts United States senators hiding behind a wall and feeling safe</li> <li>On the other side of the wall smoke and explosions can be seen over Europe</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The purpose of the cartoon was to satirize the aloofness of United States leaders who believed that the United States would be safe from foreign threats as long as it maintained isolationist policies. [purpose]</li> <li>The cartoon was meant to appeal in part to people who were unsure whether the United States should become more involved in supporting the countries fighting off Axis aggression in Europe during the Second World War. [audience]</li> </ul>                                       |

AP® United States History 2024 Scoring Guidelines

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <p>6. Sachi Kajiwara, recollection, 1940s</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Depicts Japanese American prisoners in an internment camp making preparations to celebrate the Fourth of July</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As a Japanese American woman interred because of fears of that Japanese-descended people threatened the United States during wartime, Kajiwara in fact internalized American values of liberty and expressed American patriotism. [point of view]</li> <li>• During the Second World War, military and political leaders feared that Japanese Americans could pose a national security threat, and they organized the relocation of large numbers of Japanese Americans to internment camps under the justification of a wartime emergency. [situation]</li> </ul> |
| <p>7. Mary McLeod Bethune, educator and civil rights activist, speech, circa 1942</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Describes loss of rights of families in Europe</li> <li>• Argues that full democracy has not been achieved in the United States</li> <li>• Argues for dual fight against totalitarianism abroad and for democracy at home</li> <li>• Argues that African Americans are already struggling for democracy</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As a Black civil rights activist, Bethune wanted to channel American enthusiasm for fighting to protect against overseas threats to democracy into the fight for civil rights at home. [point of view]</li> <li>• The speech was given after the United States had entered the Second World War and was sending troops to fight against German injustices. By 1942, Americans were beginning to learn about the scope of those injustices as details of the Holocaust were emerging. [situation]</li> </ul>  |

## Question 2: Long Essay Question, Eighteenth-Century Settler Expansion

6 points

### General Scoring Notes

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently; for example, a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy:** The components of these rubrics require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, essays may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam essays should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

Evaluate the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800.

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria   |  |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Row A<br/>Thesis/Claim<br/><br/>[0-1 points]</p>  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p> | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.</p>   |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are not historically defensible.</li> <li>• Only restate or rephrase the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not respond to the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not establish a line of reasoning.</li> <li>• Are overgeneralized.</li> </ul>  |  | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide a historically defensible thesis or claim about the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800. The thesis or claim must either provide some indication of the reason for making that claim OR establish categories of the argument.</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Provide a restatement of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide a historically defensible claim, but do not establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Westward expansion strongly impacted Native Americans.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide a claim that is not historically defensible</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Settler expansion to the West inspired the idea of Manifest Destiny.”</i></li> </ul> |  | <p><b>Examples that earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Settler expansion from 1754 to 1800 led to disputes with the British government, which most significantly contributed to the independence of the United States.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt with analytic categories</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Settler expansion led to military conflict with Native Americans and disagreement over the status of slavery after 1783.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Expansion led to conflict with Native Americans.”</i> [Minimally acceptable thesis/claim]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The thesis or claim must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion [which may not be limited to the first or last paragraphs].</li> <li>• The thesis or claim must identify a relevant development[s] in the period, although it is not required to encompass the entire period.</li> </ul>   |  |  |

| Reporting Category                               | Scoring Criteria   |   |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Row B</b><br/><b>Contextualization</b></p> | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>   | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.</p>  |
| <p>[0-1 points]</p>                              | <p><b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b></p>   |   |
|  | <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide context that is not relevant to the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide a passing phrase or reference.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Do not provide context relevant to the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Republican motherhood showed the importance of women educating their children.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“European settlers came into conflict with Native Americans.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately describe a context relevant to settler expansion in North America from 1754 to 1800.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of relevant context that earn this point include the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Native American lifeways before European contact</li> <li>• Columbian Exchange</li> <li>• Encomienda system and treatment of Native Americans by the Spanish</li> <li>• Early European settlements in North America [i.e. Jamestown, Plymouth]</li> <li>• Growth of trade relationships between Europeans and Native Americans</li> <li>• Louisiana Purchase</li> <li>• Forced removal of Native Americans to reservations</li> <li>• Manifest Destiny</li> <li>• Annexation of Texas</li> <li>• Mexican-American War</li> <li>• Domestic slave trade</li> <li>• Transcontinental Railroad</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable contextualization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“When Europeans first came to the Americas during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, they brought with them diseases like smallpox, which killed many Native Americans.”</i></li> <li>• <i>“English colonists came to eastern North America in the 1600s and developed plantations to make money.”</i> [Minimally acceptable contextualization]</li> </ul> |
|  | <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response must describe broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question that are relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>• To earn this point, the context provided must be more than a phrase or reference.</li> </ul>  |   |

| Reporting Category                            | Scoring Criteria  |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Row C Evidence</b><br/>[0-2 points]</p> | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Provides specific examples of at least <b>two</b> pieces of evidence relevant to the <b>topic</b> of the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Supports an <b>argument</b> in response to the prompt using at least <b>two</b> pieces of specific and relevant evidence.</p>  |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>       |   |  |   |
|   | <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify a single piece of evidence.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is not relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is outside the time period or region specified in the prompt.</li> <li>Repeat information that is specified in the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence that is outside the time period</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“Many American pioneers traveled along the Oregon Trail to western settlements.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify at least two specific historical examples relevant to settler expansion in North America from 1754 to 1800.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of evidence that are specific and relevant include the following (two examples required):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Seven Years’ War</li> <li>American Revolution</li> <li>Spanish missions in California</li> <li>Royal Proclamation of 1763</li> <li>Pontiac’s War [1763]</li> <li>Neolin</li> <li>Fur trade networks</li> <li>Transatlantic slave trade</li> <li>Quebec Act</li> <li>Land speculation</li> <li>Weyapiersenwah [Blue Jacket]</li> <li>Northwest Ordinance [1787]</li> <li>Southwest Ordinance [1790]</li> <li>Anthony Wayne</li> <li>Battle of Fallen Timbers</li> <li>Treaty of Greenville [1795]</li> <li>Alexander McGillivray [Hoboi-Hili-Miko]</li> <li>Pickney’s Treaty [1795] / Mississippi right of deposit</li> <li>Whiskey Rebellion</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of a statement that earns one point for evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“The United States negotiated Jay’s Treaty to gain better access to the old Northwest and Pickney’s Treaty so settlers could have the right of deposit when shipping goods on the Mississippi River.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use at least two specific historical examples to support an argument regarding the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that successfully support an argument with evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“As British settlers were seeking to gain more western land after the Seven Years’ War, the king issued the Royal Proclamation of 1763 to stop conflicts with Native Americans.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about how settlers’ expansion contributed to the end of salutary neglect in the British North American colonies]</li> <li><i>“As more British colonists moved west of the Appalachian Mountains, it increased tensions with Native Americans, causing conflicts such as Pontiac’s War, which united several Native American nations against the British.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about how increasing colonization led to warfare between Europeans and Native Americans]</li> <li><i>“The expansion of British territory after the Seven Years’ War led to problems as the French colonists living in that territory were not happy with British rule. The Quebec Act was passed to try and ease these tensions, but it caused problems with American colonists who objected to Quebec’s special treatment.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about how settler expansion led to increased conflicts between Great Britain and its North American colonies]</li> </ul> |



**Additional Notes:**

- Typically, statements credited as evidence will be more specific than statements credited as contextualization.
- If a response has a multipart argument, then it can meet the threshold of two pieces of evidence by giving one example for one part of the argument and another example for a different part of the argument, but the total number of examples must still be at least two.

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria   |  |   |   |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Row D</b><br/><b>Analysis and Reasoning</b><br/><br/><b>[0-2 points]</b></p>   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>   | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Uses historical reasoning [e.g., comparison, causation, continuity, and change] to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation and/or effective use of evidence.</p> |   |  |  |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Northwest Ordinance created a process for admitting new states.”</i></li> </ul> </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comparing the settlement patterns and practices of French, Spanish, and/or British settlers during the time period.</li> <li>• Discussing the effects of the changes in European imperial land claims over the course of the period on Native American and colonists interactions.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“As more American settlers moved into the Northwest territory, conflict with Native Americans in the region increased, resulting in warfare between the United States and a confederacy of</i></li> </ul> </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that the effects of settler expansion on North America varied by region. It discusses the similarities and differences between the growth of the Spanish mission system in the Southwest, United States relations with the Muscogee [Creek] Nation in the Southeast, and conflict with the Northwest Confederacy of Native Americans in the Great Lakes region. [Explains insightful connections across geographical areas]</li> <li>• A response may argue that settler expansion often brought White colonists and Native Americans into increased conflict with one another. It then qualifies the argument with evidence of specific Native American nations entering into alliances with Euro-American settlers to fight other groups, such as the alliance between the British</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table> |  |  |   | <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Northwest Ordinance created a process for admitting new states.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comparing the settlement patterns and practices of French, Spanish, and/or British settlers during the time period.</li> <li>• Discussing the effects of the changes in European imperial land claims over the course of the period on Native American and colonists interactions.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“As more American settlers moved into the Northwest territory, conflict with Native Americans in the region increased, resulting in warfare between the United States and a confederacy of</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that the effects of settler expansion on North America varied by region. It discusses the similarities and differences between the growth of the Spanish mission system in the Southwest, United States relations with the Muscogee [Creek] Nation in the Southeast, and conflict with the Northwest Confederacy of Native Americans in the Great Lakes region. [Explains insightful connections across geographical areas]</li> <li>• A response may argue that settler expansion often brought White colonists and Native Americans into increased conflict with one another. It then qualifies the argument with evidence of specific Native American nations entering into alliances with Euro-American settlers to fight other groups, such as the alliance between the British</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Northwest Ordinance created a process for admitting new states.”</i></li> </ul>  | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about the extent to which settler expansion influenced North America from 1754 to 1800. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comparing the settlement patterns and practices of French, Spanish, and/or British settlers during the time period.</li> <li>• Discussing the effects of the changes in European imperial land claims over the course of the period on Native American and colonists interactions.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“As more American settlers moved into the Northwest territory, conflict with Native Americans in the region increased, resulting in warfare between the United States and a confederacy of</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that the effects of settler expansion on North America varied by region. It discusses the similarities and differences between the growth of the Spanish mission system in the Southwest, United States relations with the Muscogee [Creek] Nation in the Southeast, and conflict with the Northwest Confederacy of Native Americans in the Great Lakes region. [Explains insightful connections across geographical areas]</li> <li>• A response may argue that settler expansion often brought White colonists and Native Americans into increased conflict with one another. It then qualifies the argument with evidence of specific Native American nations entering into alliances with Euro-American settlers to fight other groups, such as the alliance between the British</li> </ul> |   |   |  |  |

|  |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  | <p><i>Native American nations.</i>" [Indicates an effect of settler expansion.]</p> | <p>and some Haudenosaunee [Iroquois] nations during the American Revolution. [Explains multiple effects]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that settler expansion led to increased economic prosperity for White Americans. It then modifies that argument with evidence of class conflict between settlers and state governments and the federal government over the fairness of taxation and economic regulation for less wealthy Americans. [Uses evidence to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To earn the first point for analysis and reasoning, the response must use historical reasoning to structure a response to the prompt, although the reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking in specificity.</li> <li>• This complex understanding must be part of the argument and may be demonstrated in any part of the response.</li> <li>• While it is not necessary for this complex understanding to be woven throughout the response, it must be more than merely a phrase or reference.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |

### Question 3: Long Essay Question, Internal Migration and the Economy 1820 to 1898

6 points

#### General Scoring Notes

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently; for example, a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy:** The components of these rubrics require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, essays may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam essays should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

Evaluate the extent to which migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898.

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria   |  |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Row A</b><br/><b>Thesis/Claim</b><br/><br/><b>[0-1 points]</b></p>   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p> | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.</p>   |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are not historically defensible.</li> <li>• Only restate or rephrase the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not respond to the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not establish a line of reasoning.</li> <li>• Are overgeneralized.</li> </ul>  |  | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide a historically defensible thesis or claim about the extent to which migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898. The thesis or claim must either provide some indication of the reason for making that claim OR establish categories of the argument.</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Provide a restatement of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide a historically defensible claim, but do not establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Large numbers of Americans moved westward between 1820 and 1898.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Are overgeneralized</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The migration of Americans to the West from 1820 to 1898 led to the region’s population growing.”</i></li> </ul> |  | <p><b>Examples that earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“While migration to the West led to the expansion of agriculture in the region, what was more influential was the vast expansion of mining and resource extraction that helped supply the expansion of industry during the Gilded Age.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt with analytic categories</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The forced relocation of Native Americans and debates over slavery influenced society in the West by leading the growth of White settlement and the rise of wage labor throughout the region after 1865.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Western migration led to more states being added to the United States.”</i> [Minimally acceptable thesis/claim]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The thesis or claim must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion [which may not be limited to the first or last paragraphs].</li> <li>• The thesis or claim must identify a relevant development[s] in the period, although it is not required to encompass the entire period.</li> </ul>   |  |  |

| Reporting Category                       | Scoring Criteria  |  |
|--|---|--|
| <b>Row B</b><br><b>Contextualization</b> | <b>0 points</b><br>Does not meet the criteria for one point.  | <b>1 point</b><br>Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.   |
| <b>[0-1 points]</b>                      | <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>   |  |
|  | <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide context that is not relevant to the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide a passing phrase or reference.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Do not provide context relevant to the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The First Great Awakening was a major religious revival during the 1700s.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Westward expansion of the United States occurred during a period of great change.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately describe a context relevant to migration to the western United States from 1820 to 1898.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of relevant context that earn this point include the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Westward settlement during the colonial era</li> <li>• Warfare with Native Americans during the colonial era</li> <li>• Royal Proclamation of 1763</li> <li>• Northwest Ordinance of 1787</li> <li>• Southwest Ordinance of 1790</li> <li>• Louisiana Purchase</li> <li>• Lewis and Clark expedition</li> <li>• Expansion of the mission system in the American Southwest</li> <li>• Era of Good Feelings</li> <li>• “Closing of the frontier”</li> <li>• United States imperialism overseas</li> <li>• Manifest Destiny</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable contextualization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“During the colonial period the British government tried to restrict westward migration of the colonists through the Proclamation Line.”</i></li> <li>• <i>“The Northwest Ordinance established the process for making territories new states.”</i><br/>[Minimally acceptable contextualization]</li> </ul> |
|  | <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response must describe broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question that are relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>• To earn this point, the context provided must be more than a phrase or reference.</li> </ul>   |  |

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Row C Evidence</b><br/>[0-2 points]</p>  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Provides specific examples of at least <b>two</b> pieces of evidence relevant to the <b>topic</b> of the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Supports an <b>argument</b> in response to the prompt using at least <b>two</b> pieces of specific and relevant evidence.</p> |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |   |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify a single piece of evidence.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is not relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is outside the time period or region specified in the prompt.</li> <li>Repeat information that is specified in the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence that is outside the time period</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“The United States bought the Louisiana Purchase from France for \$15 million.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify at least two specific historical examples relevant to how migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of evidence that are specific and relevant include the following (two examples required):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>United States wars with Native American nations</li> <li>Chinese railroad workers</li> <li>Missouri Compromise</li> <li>Transcontinental railroads</li> <li>Trail of Tears</li> <li>Compromise of 1850</li> <li>Dawes-Severalty Act [1887]</li> <li>Domestic slave trade [Second Middle Passage]</li> <li>Mexican-American War</li> <li>Mormon migration</li> <li>Oregon Trail</li> <li>California Gold rush</li> <li>Homestead Act</li> <li>Populism</li> <li>Exodusters</li> <li>Conservation / federal park system</li> <li>Spanish-American War</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of a statement that earns one point for evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“The completion of the Erie Canal and the construction of railroads connected the Northeast and Midwest.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use at least two specific historical examples to support an argument regarding migration to the western United States from 1820 to 1898.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that successfully support an argument with evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“Henry Clay’s American System proposed using federal funds to encourage building internal improvements to improve trade with the interior.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about the relationship between federal support for economic development and westward migration]</li> <li><i>“The Missouri Compromise established limits to where slavery would be allowed to expand in the West, and then as the cotton economy expanded, Southerners sought to undo these limits through laws such as the Kansas-Nebraska Act to allow for the westward expansions of slavery.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about the effect of migration on the issue of slavery in the West]</li> <li><i>“Migration to the West occurred at such a pace that national parks like Yellowstone and Yosemite were founded to preserve some areas from United States settlement.”</i> [Uses evidence to support an argument about the rise of conservationism and preservationism in reaction to migration to the West]</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, statements credited as evidence will be more specific than statements credited as contextualization.</li> <li>If a response has a multipart argument, then it can meet the threshold of two pieces of evidence by giving one example for one part of the argument and another example for a different part of the argument, but the total number of examples must still be at least two.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria  |  |   |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Row D</b><br/><b>Analysis and Reasoning</b><br/><br/><b>[0-2 points]</b></p>   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Uses historical reasoning [e.g., comparison, causation, continuity, and change] to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation and/or effective use of evidence.</p> |  |   |  |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |   |  |   |  |   |  |
| <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Many Americans moved to the West using the Oregon Trail.”</i></li> </ul> </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about how migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how changing economic conditions in the United States such as industrialization shaped the economic activity of people who migrated to the West.</li> <li>• Comparing how different groups like plantation owners, merchants, and/or industrialists, and/or abolitionists sought to take advantage of westward migration.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Kansas-Nebraska Act caused proslavery and antislavery settlers to clash in Kansas over the issue</i></li> </ul> </td> <td style="width: 33%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West contributed to significant conflict during the nineteenth century. It supports that argument with evidence of how migration contributed to the ongoing conflict with Native Americans during the Jacksonian Era, how it contributed to the Mexican-American War in the 1840s, and how it contributed to the Civil War in the 1860s. [Explains relevant and insightful connections across periods]</li> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West resulted in the federal government initially establishing clear laws limiting where slavery could and could not expand. It extends that argument by examining how those laws changed in different places, and how conflicts over slavery in the West exacerbated conflicts between the</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table> |   |  |   | <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Many Americans moved to the West using the Oregon Trail.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about how migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how changing economic conditions in the United States such as industrialization shaped the economic activity of people who migrated to the West.</li> <li>• Comparing how different groups like plantation owners, merchants, and/or industrialists, and/or abolitionists sought to take advantage of westward migration.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Kansas-Nebraska Act caused proslavery and antislavery settlers to clash in Kansas over the issue</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West contributed to significant conflict during the nineteenth century. It supports that argument with evidence of how migration contributed to the ongoing conflict with Native Americans during the Jacksonian Era, how it contributed to the Mexican-American War in the 1840s, and how it contributed to the Civil War in the 1860s. [Explains relevant and insightful connections across periods]</li> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West resulted in the federal government initially establishing clear laws limiting where slavery could and could not expand. It extends that argument by examining how those laws changed in different places, and how conflicts over slavery in the West exacerbated conflicts between the</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>• May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Many Americans moved to the West using the Oregon Trail.”</i></li> </ul>   | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about how migration influenced the western United States from 1820 to 1898. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how changing economic conditions in the United States such as industrialization shaped the economic activity of people who migrated to the West.</li> <li>• Comparing how different groups like plantation owners, merchants, and/or industrialists, and/or abolitionists sought to take advantage of westward migration.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The Kansas-Nebraska Act caused proslavery and antislavery settlers to clash in Kansas over the issue</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>• Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>• Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>• Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>• Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West contributed to significant conflict during the nineteenth century. It supports that argument with evidence of how migration contributed to the ongoing conflict with Native Americans during the Jacksonian Era, how it contributed to the Mexican-American War in the 1840s, and how it contributed to the Civil War in the 1860s. [Explains relevant and insightful connections across periods]</li> <li>• A response may argue that migration to the West resulted in the federal government initially establishing clear laws limiting where slavery could and could not expand. It extends that argument by examining how those laws changed in different places, and how conflicts over slavery in the West exacerbated conflicts between the</li> </ul> |   |  |   |  |



AP® United States History 2024 Scoring Guidelines

|  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
|  |  | <p><i>of popular sovereignty.</i>" [Indicates an effect of attempts by the federal government to resolve the issue of slavery in the West]</p> | <p>North and the South. [Explains relevant and insightful connections across geographical areas]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that migrants to the West mainly sought to claim agricultural land for their own economic gain. It then modifies the argument with evidence of how, besides agriculture, the gold rush, resource extraction, and railroad construction were also significant economic drivers in the West. [Explains multiple causes]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To earn the first point for analysis and reasoning, the response must use historical reasoning to structure a response to the prompt, although the reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking in specificity.</li> <li>• This complex understanding must be part of the argument and may be demonstrated in any part of the response.</li> <li>• While it is not necessary for this complex understanding to be woven throughout the response, it must be more than merely a phrase or reference.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |

## Question 4: Long Essay Question, Migration and Society, 1932 to 2000

6 points

### General Scoring Notes

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently; for example, a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy:** The components of these rubrics require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, essays may contain errors that do not detract from their overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity:** Exam essays should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

Evaluate the extent to which migration influenced United States society from 1932 to 2000.

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria   |  |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Row A</b><br/><b>Thesis/Claim</b><br/><br/><b>[0-1 points]</b></p>   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p> | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.</p>   |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are not historically defensible.</li> <li>• Only restate or rephrase the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not respond to the prompt.</li> <li>• Do not establish a line of reasoning.</li> <li>• Are overgeneralized.</li> </ul>  |  | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide a historically defensible thesis or claim about the extent to which migration influenced United States society from 1932 to 2000. The thesis or claim must either provide some indication of the reason for making that claim OR establish categories of the argument.</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Provide a restatement of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Migration influenced United States society in the twentieth century.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide a historically defensible claim, but do not establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Migration influenced many changes in the United States.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Are overgeneralized</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“The United States became more diverse.”</i></li> </ul> |  | <p><b>Examples that earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Immigration in the early twentieth century sparked a nativist response, however, by the end of the century the United States was legally and socially more accepting of immigrants from different cultures.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning that evaluates the topic of the prompt with analytic categories</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Migration in the United States between 1932 and 2000 reshaped the country demographically through the Great Migration and politically through migration to the Sun Belt.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Establish a line of reasoning</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Increased immigration Latin America and Asia led to greater acceptance of ethnic diversity in United States society.”</i> [Minimally acceptable thesis/claim]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The thesis or claim must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion [which may not be limited to the first or last paragraphs].</li> <li>• The thesis or claim must identify a relevant development[s] in the period, although it is not required to encompass the entire period.</li> </ul>   |  |  |

| Reporting Category  | Scoring Criteria  |  |
|---|---|--|
| <p><b>Row B</b><br/><b>Contextualization</b></p>  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>  | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.</p> |
| <p>[0-1 points]</p>   | <p><b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b></p>  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide context that is not relevant to the prompt.</li> <li>• Provide a passing phrase or reference.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn this point:</b></p> <p><b>Do not provide context relevant to the topic of the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Herbert Hoover was elected president in 1928.”</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Provide an overgeneralized statement about the time period referenced in the prompt</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“There was a lot of political and social change occurring in the United States in the twentieth century.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn this point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accurately describe a context relevant to migration and United States society from 1932 to 2000.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of relevant context that earn this point include the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manifest Destiny</li> <li>• Chinese Exclusion Act</li> <li>• Industrial revolution</li> <li>• Settlement house movement</li> <li>• The Great Migration [first wave]</li> <li>• 1920s urbanization</li> <li>• Progressive Era</li> <li>• First World War</li> <li>• Indian Citizenship Act of 1924</li> <li>• National Origins Act</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable contextualization:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Reformers in the Progressive Era were divided over the issue of immigration, namely whether to encourage Americanization or whether to support nativist restrictions.”</i></li> <li>• <i>“The industrial revolution accelerated migration to cities because of the need for so many workers.”</i> [Minimally acceptable contextualization]</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The response must describe broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question that are relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>• To earn this point, the context provided must be more than a phrase or reference.</li> </ul>   |   |  |

| Reporting Category  | Scoring Criteria   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Row C Evidence</b><br/>[0-2 points]</p>   | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>   | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Provides specific examples of at least <b>two</b> pieces of evidence relevant to the <b>topic</b> of the prompt.</p>   | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Supports an <b>argument</b> in response to the prompt using at least <b>two</b> pieces of specific and relevant evidence.</p> |
| <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>   |  |  |  |
| <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify a single piece of evidence.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is not relevant to the topic of the prompt.</li> <li>Provide evidence that is outside the time period or region specified in the prompt.</li> <li>Repeat information that is specified in the prompt.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>Provide evidence that is outside the time period</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“Jane Addams created programs to help immigrants adapt to United States culture.”</li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify at least two specific historical examples relevant to migration and United States society from 1932 to 2000.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples of evidence that are specific and relevant include the following [two examples required]:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immigration national origins quotas</li> <li>Dust Bowl</li> <li>Suburbanization</li> <li>Interstate highway system</li> <li>Sun Belt</li> <li>Rust Belt</li> <li>Rise of conservatism</li> <li>Immigration and Nationality Act [Hart-Cellar Act] of 1965</li> <li>Second Great Migration</li> <li>Bracero program</li> <li>Migrant labor</li> <li>Refugees: Holocaust survivors, Cubans, Haitians, etc.</li> <li>Immigration Reform and Control Act [1986]</li> <li>Reverse Great Migration</li> <li>Cultural influences: food, music, media, neighborhoods</li> <li>Globalization</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of a statement that earns one point for evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“The United States dropped immigration quotas in 1965, which contributed to the growth of globalization by the year 2000, as immigrants to the United States became more diverse parts of the world.”</li> </ul> | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use at least two specific historical examples to support an argument regarding the extent to which migration influenced United States society from 1932 to 2000.</li> </ul> <p><b>Examples that successfully support an argument with evidence:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“The environmental damage caused by the Dust Bowl, combined with the Great Depression, led many farmers to migrate to cities in the West.” [Uses evidence to support an argument about a cause of internal migration]</li> <li>“The end of the Vietnam War resulted in an influx of Vietnamese and Hmong refugees.” [Uses evidence to support an argument about changing immigration patterns due to involvement in the Cold War]</li> <li>“The United States instituted the Bracero Program during the Second World War to help fill needed agricultural jobs with workers mostly from Mexico.” [Uses evidence to support an argument about how the growing role of the United States in the world encouraged increased migration]</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically, statements credited as evidence will be more specific than statements credited as contextualization.</li> <li>If a response has a multipart argument, then it can meet the threshold of two pieces of evidence by giving one example for one part of the argument and another example for a different part of the argument, but the total number of examples must still be at least two.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

| Reporting Category   | Scoring Criteria   |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Row D</b><br/><b>Analysis and Reasoning</b></p>  | <p><b>0 points</b><br/>Does not meet the criteria for one point.</p>   | <p><b>1 point</b><br/>Uses historical reasoning [e.g., comparison, causation, continuity, and change] to frame or structure an argument that addresses the prompt.</p>  | <p><b>2 points</b><br/>Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt through sophisticated argumentation and/or effective use of evidence.</p>  |
| <p><b>[0-2 points]</b></p>   | <b>Decision Rules and Scoring Notes</b>  |   |  |
|  | <p><b>Responses that do not earn points:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument.</li> <li>May assert the use of historical reasoning but does not use it to frame or structure an argument.</li> </ul>  | <p><b>Responses that earn 1 point:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Must demonstrate the use of historical reasoning to frame or structure an argument about the extent to which migration influenced United States society from 1932 to 2000. The reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking specificity.</li> </ul>  | <p><b>Responses that earn 2 points:</b></p> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through sophisticated argumentation that is relevant to the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explaining multiple themes or perspectives to explore complexity or nuance; OR</li> <li>Explaining multiple causes or effects, multiple similarities or differences, or multiple continuities or changes; OR</li> <li>Explaining both cause and effect, both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change; OR</li> <li>Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods or geographical areas. These connections should clearly relate to an argument that responds to the prompt.</li> </ul> <p>May demonstrate a complex understanding through effective use of evidence relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. This may be done in a variety of ways that might include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explaining how multiple pieces of specific and relevant evidence [at least <b>four</b>] support a nuanced or complex argument that responds to the prompt; OR</li> <li>Using evidence effectively to demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of different perspectives relevant to the prompt.</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Examples that do not earn points:</b></p> <p><b>May include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to an argument</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>“Immigration from Asia increased during the second half of the 1900s.”</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Using a historical reasoning process to frame or structure an argument could include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explaining how United States society adopted cultural practices [music, food, etc.] from immigrant groups over time.</li> <li>Comparing how different political parties and organizations responded to changing immigration pattern</li> <li>Explaining the Great Migration as a factor in the decline of sharecropping in the South.</li> </ul> <p><b>Example of acceptable use of historical reasoning:</b></p> | <p><b>Demonstrating a complex understanding might include any of the following, if appropriate elaboration is provided:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A response may argue that the effect of migration on a specific region of the United States changed over time. The response focuses on the effect of internal migration on the Great Lakes region, comparing the effect of the Great Migration on the growth of Detroit and Chicago during the early part of the period with the effect of suburbanization and Sun Belt migration on the rise of Southwest as a political force during the latter part of the period. [Explains relevant and insightful connections across geographical areas]</li> <li>A response may argue that throughout the twentieth century, the United States gradually changed to become more accepting of</li> </ul> |  |

|  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
|  |  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>“Immigrants generally continued to settle in urban and suburban areas, changing the political demographics of the cities in which they lived, and eventually contributed to more diverse representation in local, state, and federal government.”</i> [Indicates a change in politics and culture due to immigration patterns ]</li> </ul> | <p>immigrants from diverse national backgrounds. It qualifies that argument with evidence of legal restrictions on immigration throughout the period and growing debates about immigration during the Reagan and Clinton administrations. [Explains both continuity and change]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A response may argue that immigration and the Great Migration were the main drivers of increased urbanization during the period. The response qualifies this argument by looking at countervailing trend of suburbanization and white flight from cities, which contributed to urban decline in the 1960s and 1970s. [Explains both cause and effect]</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Additional Notes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To earn the first point for analysis and reasoning, the response must use historical reasoning to structure a response to the prompt, although the reasoning might be uneven or imbalanced, or the evidence may be overly general or lacking in specificity.</li> <li>• This complex understanding must be part of the argument and may be demonstrated in any part of the response.</li> <li>• While it is not necessary for this complex understanding to be woven throughout the response, it must be more than merely a phrase or reference.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |