

AP English Language and Composition

Scoring Guidelines
Set 1

Synthesis Essay 6 points

Historic preservation laws are intended to protect buildings deemed to be of historic, cultural, or architectural value. The laws affect both government buildings and private property, putting constraints on how and to what extent the structures can be altered, renovated, or replaced. Proponents of these laws claim they are necessary for the preservation of history and culture and the architectural integrity of a neighborhood. Opponents of the laws argue that such laws prevent progress and negatively impact real estate development, building renovation, and building design.

Carefully read the following six sources, including the introductory information for each source. Write an essay that synthesizes material from at least three of the sources and develops your position on the value, if any, of laws designed to preserve buildings deemed to be of historic importance.

Source A (National Parks Service Web site)

Source B (Merlino book)

Source C (Appelbaum opinion article)

Source D (Webb graph)

Source E (Martin article)

Source F (Rosen cartoon)

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support your line of reasoning. Indicate clearly the sources used through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. Sources may be cited as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the description in parentheses.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row A Thesis (0–1 points)	O points For any of the following: There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.	1 point Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position. Jules and Scoring Notes			
	 Responses that do not earn this point: Only restate the prompt. Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred. Equivocate or summarize other's arguments but not the student's (e.g., some people say it's good, some people say it's bad). State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense. Examples that do not earn this point: Restate the prompt "Historic preservation laws are laws designed to preserve buildings deemed to be of historic importance." Address the topic of the prompt but do not take a position "Historic preservation laws have been called controversial." 	Responses that earn this point: Respond to the prompt by developing a position on the value, if any, of laws designed to preserve buildings deemed to be of historic importance, rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. Clearly take a position rather than just stating there are pros/cons. Examples that earn this point: Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt "Laws that protect buildings are necessary to save history." "Laws designed to protect historical buildings are essential to preserve both culture and architecture."			
		"While laws designed to protect historical buildings may help preserve cultural history as well as neighborhood integrity, they should rarely exist as they prevent building owners from possible renovations and sale." In close proximity. Widence that could be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that			
	 evidence to earn the thesis point. The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 				

orting gory		Scoring Criteria			
Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0–4 points)	O points Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or references fewer than two of the provided sources.	1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least two of the provided sources. AND COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides evidence from or references at least three of the provided sources. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence fro at least three of the provided sources to support all claims i a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.
	Typical responses that earn 0 points: Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	Typical responses that earn 1 point: Tend to focus on summary or description of sources rather than specific details.	Typical responses that earn 2 points: Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the	Typical responses that earn 3 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.	Typical responses that earn 4 points: Uniformly offer evidence support claims. Focus on the importance specific words and details from the sources to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claim each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.
	Additional Notes: • Writing that suffers from g		connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.		

AP® English Language and Composition 2024 Scoring Guidelines

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria			
Row C	0 points	1 point		
Sophistication	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the		
(0-1 points)		rhetorical situation.		
	Decision	Rules and Scoring Notes		
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a		
	Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist	complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:		
	predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time").	Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions across the sources.		
	 Only hint at or suggest other arguments ("While some may argue that" OR "Some people say"). Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective 	2. Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student's argument or arguments conveyed in the sources) by situating it within a broader		
		context.		
	because it does not enhance the argument.	3. Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student's argument throughout the response.		
		4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.		
	Additional Notes: This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a place.			

Rhetorical Analysis 6 points

Reshma Saujani is an attorney, author, and activist who founded Girls Who Code, an organization that works to advance opportunities for girls and women to find careers in the technology sector. The following passage is excerpted from Saujani's contribution to *American Like Me: Reflections on Life Between Cultures*, a 2018 anthology of essays by prominent Americans with backgrounds in multiple cultures. The excerpt begins after Saujani discusses founding a multicultural student organization at her high school. Read the passage carefully. Write an essay that analyzes the rhetorical choices Saujani makes to convey her message about the nature of bravery.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that analyzes the writer's rhetorical choices.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the rhetorical situation.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row A Thesis (0–1 points)	0 points	1 point			
	 For any of the following: There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. 	Responds to the prompt with a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer's rhetorical choices.			
		es and Scoring Notes			
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:			
	 Only restate the prompt. Fail to address the rhetorical choices the writer of the passage makes. Describe or repeat the passage rather than making a claim that requires a defense. 	Respond to the prompt rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt <u>and</u> clearly articulate a defensible thesis about the rhetorical choices Saujani makes to convey her message about the nature of bravery.			
	Examples that do not earn this point:	Examples that earn this point:			
	Restate the prompt	Present a defensible thesis that analyzes the writer's rhetorical choices			
	 "Reshma Saujani, who founded the organization Girls Who Code, wrote about bravery in the anthology American Like Me: Reflections on Life Between Cultures." Make a claim but do not address the writer's rhetorical choices "In her essay, Saujani talks about her parents' bravery after they immigrated to the United States." 	 "Reshma Saujani uses comparison and contrast, repetition, and evocative imagery to convey what bravery means to her." "In her essay, Saujani repeats key words and sentence structures to highlight the link between her parents' choices and those she has made in her career as a politician and non-profit leader." 			
	 Repeat provided information from the passage "Saujani's parents chose to Americanize their names in order to assimilate into American culture, thereby paving the way for their daughter's authenticity." 	 "In Reshma's passage she conveys the message that it is better to be brave and take chances even if you fail, so you can stand apart from the crowd and make a change instead of blending in. Saujani is able to convey this message by first describing a time where she was brave but suffered failures and secondly demonstrating how the failures did not set her back from achieving great things." 			
	Additional Notes:				
	The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity.				
	The thesis may be anywhere within the response.				
	• For a thesis to be defensible, the passage must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point.				
	• The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point.				
	A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.				

Reporting Category			Scoring Criteria		
Row B Evidence	O points Simply restates thesis (if	1 point EVIDENCE:	2 points EVIDENCE:	3 points EVIDENCE:	4 points EVIDENCE:
AND Commentary (0–4 points)	present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.	Provides evidence that is mostly general.	Provides some specific, relevant evidence.	Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.	Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning.
	interestant to the prompt.	AND	AND	AND	AND
		COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how at least one rhetorical choice in the passage contributes to the writer's argument, purpose, or message.	COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how multiple rhetorical choices in the passage contribut to the writer's argument, purpos or message.
			Decision Rules and Scori	ng Notes	
	Typical responses that earn 0 points:	Typical responses that earn 1 point:	Typical responses that earn 2 points:	Typical responses that earn 3 points:	Typical responses that earn 4 points:
	Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	 Tend to focus on summary or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques. Mention rhetorical choices with little or no explanation. 	 Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. 	 Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. 	 Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. Explain how the writer's use of rhetorical choices contributes to the student's interpretation of the passage

© 2024 College Board

AP® English Language and Composition 2024 Scoring Guidelines

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria			
Row C	0 points	1 point		
Sophistication	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the rhetorical		
(01 points)		situation.		
	Decisio	n Rules and Scoring Notes		
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a		
	predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time"). Only hint at or suggest other arguments ("While some may argue	complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following:		
		1. Explaining the significance or relevance of the writer's rhetorical choices (given the rhetorical situation).		
		2. Explaining a purpose or function of the passage's complexities or tensions.		
		3. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.		
	Oversimplify complexities in the text.			
	 Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance their analysis. 			
	Additional Notes: This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a phrase or reference.			

Argument Essay 6 points

In a 2013 New York Times article on the practice of taking selfies, writer, editor, and podcast host J Wortham wrote: "Rather than dismissing the trend as a side effect of digital culture or a sad form of exhibitionism, maybe we're better off seeing selfies for what they are at their best—a kind of visual diary, a way to mark our short existence and hold it up to others as proof that we were here."

Write an essay that argues your position on the extent to which Wortham's claim about the value of documenting one's life with selfies is valid.

¹ behavior that is meant to attract attention to oneself

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Provide evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row A Thesis	0 points	1 point			
	For any of the following:	Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.			
(0-1 points)	There is no defensible thesis.				
	The intended thesis only restates the prompt.				
	The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim.				
	There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.				
	Decision	Rules and Scoring Notes			
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:			
	Only restate the prompt.	Respond to the prompt by taking a position on the extent to which Wortham's claim			
	Do not take a position, or the position is vague or must be inferred.	about the value of documenting one's life with selfies is valid, rather than restating or			
	State an obvious fact rather than making a claim that requires a defense.	rephrasing the prompt. Clearly take a position rather than just stating that there are pros/cons.			
	Examples that do not earn this point:	Examples that earn this point:			
	Do not take a position	Present a defensible position that responds to the prompt.			
	"In a New York Times article, J Wortham defends the practice of taking selfies."	• "I agree that taking selfies does not necessarily mean that a person is merely seeking attention; selfies are a form of self-expression and communication."			
	Address the topic of the prompt but are not defensible—it is an obvious fact stated as a claim	"Wortham's claim is partly true. While a quick look at any social media proves that			
	 "Taking and posting selfies online is one of the most widespread phenomena of digital culture, but many people see this practice as a form of exhibitionism." 	many post selfies merely to show themselves off, such pictures can also help us capture and share memorable experiences."			
		"Wortham's claim is valid; the person who takes a selfie in the twenty-first century is no more exhibitionist than the artist who painted a self-portrait in the nineteenth century."			
	Additional Notes: The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. The thesis may be anywhere within the response.				
	 The thesis may establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point. 				
	A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.				

orting tegory	Coring Criteria				
Row B Evidence AND Commentary (0–4 points)	O points Simply restates thesis (if present), repeats provided information, or offers information irrelevant to the prompt.	1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general. AND COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning.	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning.
			Decision Rules and Scoring No	otes	
	Typical responses that earn 0 points: • Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. • May be just opinion with no evidence or evidence that is irrelevant.	Typical responses that earn 1 point: Tend to focus on summary of evidence rather than specific details.	Typical responses that earn 2 points: Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.	Typical responses that earn 3 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.	Typical responses that earn 4 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific details to build an argument. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained.

AP® English Language and Composition 2024 Scoring Guidelines

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria			
Row C	0 points	1 point		
Sophistication	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or a complex understanding of the		
(0-1 points)		rhetorical situation.		
	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes			
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point may demonstrate sophistication of thought and/or a		
	 Attempt to contextualize their argument, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time"). Only hint at or suggest other arguments ("While some may argue that" OR "Some people say"). Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the argument. 	 complex understanding of the rhetorical situation by doing any of the following: Crafting a nuanced argument by consistently identifying and exploring complexities or tensions. Articulating the implications or limitations of an argument (either the student's argument or an argument related to the prompt) by situating it within a broader context. Making effective rhetorical choices that consistently strengthen the force and impact of the student's argument. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive. 		
	Additional Notes: This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or reference.	or complex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a phrase or		