2024



# **AP<sup>°</sup> Comparative Government and Politics**

## Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary Set 1

### Inside:

**Free-Response Question 4** 

- ☑ Scoring Guidelines
- ☑ Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

© 2024 College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of College Board. Visit College Board on the web: collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org.

#### **Question 4: Argument Essay**

ting ory	Scoring Criteria			
A hesis ints)	<b>0 points</b> Does not meet the criteria for one point.	<b>1 point</b> Responds to the prompt with a defensible claim or thesis that establishes a line of reasoning.		
-	D	ecision Rules and Scoring Notes		
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:		
	<ul><li>Only restate the prompt.</li><li>Do not make a claim that responds to the prompt.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Respond to the prompt rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt and establish a line of reasoning.</li> <li>Provide a defensible claim or thesis that establishes a line of reasoning as to whether a multiparty system sustains political legitimacy better than a one-party or a dominant party system using one or more of the provided course concepts: stability, representation, and transfer of power.</li> </ul>		
	Examples that do not earn this point:	Examples that earn this point:		
	<ul> <li>Restate the prompt</li> <li>"A multi-party system is better at sustaining legitimacy."</li> <li>"Countries with a one-party system, like China, are the best for sustaining political legitimacy."</li> <li>"A dominant party system is better at sustaining legitimacy than a multi-party system."</li> <li>Do not respond to the prompt</li> <li>"Nigeria has a multi-party system with over 30 political parties."</li> <li>"Countries in this course have a variety of different party systems."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"A dominant party system is best at maintaining political legitimacy because the dominant party provides stability through policies/leadership that don't change."</li> <li>"In a multiparty system, multiple parties receive seats in the legislature and representatives more closely reflect the viewpoints of citizens, giving citizens a strong sense of representation which makes multiparty systems better at maintaining political legitimacy."</li> <li>"A one-party system is best at maintaining political legitimacy because power does not transfer between political parties, and this increases stability and predictability in policymaking, increasing citizens' support for the government."</li> </ul>		

- The claim or thesis must consist of one or more sentences that may be located anywhere in the response.
- A claim or thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning.

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria				
Row B	0 points	1 point	2 points		
Evidence (0–2 points)	Does not meet the criteria for one point.	Provides <u>one</u> piece of specific and relevant evidence from a course country relevant to one of the course concepts in the prompt.	Provides <u>two</u> pieces of specific and relevant evidence from one or more course countries relevant to one or more of the course concepts in the prompt.		
		tes			
	Responses that do not earn points:	Responses that earn 1 or 2 points:			
	• Do not provide any accurate evidence.	• Provide specific and relevant evidence from required course countries, relevant to the course concepts in the prompt.			
	• Provide evidence that is not relevant to the course concepts in the prompt.				
	<ul> <li>Examples that do not earn points: Provide evidence that is not specific</li> <li>"Nigeria has been stable in recent years."</li> <li>Provide evidence that is not relevant to course concepts in the prompt</li> <li>"Liz Truss was chosen as prime minister after Boris Johnson by members of the party."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>authority, providing the country with political stability</li> <li>"In China's one-party system, the Chinese Communiss with policy stability for decades."</li> <li>"Mexico, UK and Nigeria have multiparty systems, an political views."</li> <li>"Nigeria has a multiparty system, with smaller parties ethnic groups."</li> </ul>	nany years with limitation on dissent and strong government ty." It Party (CCP)'s authority and consistency has provided the country llowing many parties and coalitions to represent a wide range of es earning seats in the legislature and representing various maller parties, including regional parties, that earn seats in the		
		he point in Row A to earn points in Row B. In the relationship between the evidence and the claim or t	hesis to earn points in Row B. (That explanation is evaluated in		

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria		
Row C Reasoning 0–1 points)	<ul> <li>0 points Does not meet the criteria for one point. </li> <li>Responses that do not earn this point: <ul> <li>Include evidence but offer no reasoning to connect the evidence to the claim or thesis.</li> <li>Restate the prompt without explaining how the evidence</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1 point         Explains how or why the evidence supports the claim or thesis         Decision Rules and Scoring Notes         Responses that earn this point:         • Explain the relationship between the evidence provided and the claim or thesis.	
	<ul> <li>supports the claim or thesis.</li> <li>Examples that do not earn points: <ul> <li>"Peaceful transfers of power in Mexico provide stability."</li> <li>"China's one-party system has limited citizen efficacy, which creates low legitimacy."</li> <li>"Russia's dominant party systems never enjoy public support and thus have low legitimacy."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Examples of reasoning that explain how evidence supports the claim or thesis:</li> <li>"Frequent changes in policy direction from different parties in power produce instability, which can undermine regime legitimacy."</li> <li>"Countries with a one-party dominant system typically have electoral rules and/or constitutional provisions in place creating this system. The stability that these rules bring to the regime increases its legitimacy."</li> <li>"Representation of a variety of interests and groups increases citizens' trust in the government which helps the government maintain legitimacy."</li> <li>"A peaceful transfer of power between different parties sustains the legitimacy of a regime."</li> <li>"Transfers of power are more infrequent in one-party systems, which can allow for more policy continuity and experienced office holders, increasing legitimacy."</li> </ul>	

Reporting Category	Scoring Criteria			
Row D esponds to Alternate erspectives	<b>0 points</b> Does not meet the criteria for one point.	<b>1 point</b> Responds to an opposing or alternate perspective using refutation, concession, or rebuttal.		
0–1 points)	Decision Rules and Scoring Notes			
	Responses that do not earn this point:	Responses that earn this point:		
	<ul> <li>Restate the opposite of the claim or thesis.</li> <li>May identify or describe an alternate perspective but do not refute, concede, or rebut that perspective.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Must describe an alternate perspective AND refute, concede, or rebut that perspective.</li> </ul>		
	Examples of responses that do not earn the point:	Examples of acceptable responses to an alternate perspective may include:		
	<ul> <li>Restate the opposite of the claim or thesis</li> <li>"A dominant party system is not better at maintaining political legitimacy."</li> <li>Describe an alternate perspective but do not refute, concede, or rebut that perspective</li> <li>"The other side would argue that a multiparty system is better at maintaining political legitimacy than a dominant party system, but it is not."</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"Some say that a one-party state is better at maintaining legitimacy because policies are consistent over time, but this argument is wrong because one-party states lack electoral competition, which decreases representation and undermines political legitimacy."</li> <li>"While people may argue a multiparty state is better at maintaining legitimacy because multiple viewpoints are represented, multiparty states may result in unstable coalitions that actually decrease support for the regime."</li> <li>"Many political scientists believe that a dominant party state is better at maintaining legitimacy because there is more stability, but election rules reducing competition could cause governments to lose legitimacy over time."</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Additional Notes</li> <li>To earn this point, the response must have a defensible claim or thesis (</li> <li>Responses that demonstrate an incorrect understanding of the alternat</li> </ul>			

 Important: Completely fill in the circle that corresponds to the question you are answering on this page.

Question 1	Question 2	Question 3	Question 4
0	0	0	0

Begin jour response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. When Compared to a one-party or a dominant party System, a multiparty system ats allows for the representation of a broader spectrum of people which helps sustain political legitimacy. Nigeria is a very diverse country with many ethnicities, religions, and languages spoken. The fevel of diversity often leads to tensions between groups. Nigeria has a multiparty system, with partice often aligning with certain demographics. Nigeria has an election policy that states that order for a candidate to win for president, they must win at least 25% of the vote in <sup>2</sup>13 of the states. This ensures that a good amount of the Nigerian population is represented when elections take place. If Niguria were to have a one-party or a party dominant system, much of the Nigerian population may feel like they weren't being represented in their government, which would hurt the governments legitimacy

Mexico was one a dominant party system, but is now a multiparty system. When Mexico was a dominant party system, there were many accusations of the elections being corrupt, and the dominant party overpowered smaller parties. Now in Mexico's legislature, there are multiple parties with a significant prescence, more accurately representing the Mexican population. Claims of corruption have also significantly decreased.

Multiparty systems also chourage votor tornout. If a citizen of a country Feels as though they aren't being properly represented by the governing party, they can vote in the next electron for a different party. This is not something that can happen in a one-party system or in a party dominant system since one party will always

Use a pen with black or dark blue ink only. Do NOT write your name. Do NOT write outside the box.

Q5388/5

Sample 4A, 2 of 2

=	Important: Completely fill in the circle	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3	Question 4	•
	that corresponds to the question you are answering on this page.	0	0	0		
-	Basin your rannones to each the	ction at the top of	DOW DOOD DO	not ekin linee		1

be in power. Although some may argue that one-party or dominant party systems have an easier transfer of power between leaders since power is being exchanged to the same pairty, it is simply not thue. There is almost always a peaceful transition of power between leaders of differing parties in multiparty systems. We can see this in Mexico with the peaceful and successful transfer of power between the PRI, PAN, and Movena parties. Page 6 Use a pen with black or dark blue ink only. Do NOT write your name. Do NOT write outside the box.

Important: Completely fill in the circle that corresponds to the question you are answering on this page.

Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. A Multiparty system sustains political legitimary Better than a one-party or a dominant party By providing representation and a peaceful transfer of powers free and fair elections!

Mexico is a great example of political representation. Mexico has certain quatas in political elections which that ensure there is a female candidate on the Ballot. This increases the political representation of momen in Mexico, In the UK, small I smaller parties are able to provide representation for minorities due to their plurality system, This system allows small I smaller parties to win local and selections, even national elections, and it allows them to gain seats in Parliament or local districts. This representation allows The UK is a great exam for the citizene of these nations to feel like their yate matters and it increases the got sustains the political legitimacy of the nation by giving everyone of Norcen

cln both the UK and Mexico, free and fair elections are used to elect candidates. Unlike in a one-party or dominant party state citupen have many political parties to choose from on the Ballot, Free and fair elections prevent corruption

Use a pen with black or dark blue ink only. Do NOT write your name. Do NOT write outside the box.

Sample 4B, 2 of 2

**Important:** Completely fill in the circle that corresponds to the question you are answering on this page.

Question 1	Question 2	<b>Question 3</b>	Question 4	•
0	0	0	۲	

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. and election results from Being violently disputed against. As a result, this sustains the nations political legitimacy by preventing the corruption of their electoral system and by proveiding fair election results.

An alternate perspective could make the argument that one-party or dominant party systems have more political stability than multi-party systems due to their being only one party in power. Mo a rebutal to this claim would be the uses of violent force in China [ Ugyhers ]? Russia (Cheinnya) and to suppress the voices of minorities in elections. Another example is Hong Kong's, protests against (hind which threatened the second stability of the nation due to the threat at a revolution, th concer short one party or dominant party systems) often maintain political stability through military force and violence while multiparty systems maintain it through representation and free and fair elections

In conclusion, multiparty systems are able to sustain political legitimary better than one party systems or dominant party systems by providing representation for their citizens, and by providing free and fair elections,

Page 6

Use a pen with black or dark blue ink only, Do NOT write your name. Do NOT write outside the box.

Sample 4C, 1 of 1

**Question 4** 

**Question 3** 

Question 2

Important: Completely fill in the circle that corresponds to the question you are answering on this page.

 $\bigcirc$ Ο O Begin your response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. MULTI-PATTY SYSTEMS ATP 667765 HAUN - PAGTY SYSTEMS AT sustaining political legitimacy as they allow for a greater repersentation of the ideas of the people and promote political EFFICACY. IN a MULTI-PATTY SYSTEM, PEACTICALLY anyone with any idea could attempt to run for office, and this tells People that their voices and ideas can be heard. A wide diversity of ideas in a society is inevetable, so for having a party system that fulilitates that rather than suppressing it is benificial to not invalidating anyone's ideas. People with a weak sense of political efficacy are more likely to be UNLAPPY with their government, and one of the foundational aspects of building a sense of efficar in a group of people is giving them choice. It is simple human nature to want to be Free, Those without a sense of agency will the eventually do something to create it. History has shown that single party authoritarian regimes will come crumbling down if they lack strong Militaristic Power. Russia, for example Holds ONto their legitimacy through militaristic strength, usage of Propoganda, and the suppression of conflicting ideas. It's Fragile at best though, as there is constant domestic conflict and civil Unrest. Some might cay single party systems are better as they simplfy things and provide the people with the answers. But this simply doesn't work because people JON'T WE LIKE bEINY TOID What to do.

Question 1

Page 5
Use a pen with black or dark blue ink only. Do NOT write your name. Do NOT write outside the box.

 0023415
 Image 0
 Image 0

AP® Comparative Government and Politics 2024 Scoring Commentary

#### Question 4 Argument Essay Argument Essay

**Note:** Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain spelling and grammatical errors.

#### Overview

The intent of this question was to assess student understanding of political party systems and their impact on political legitimacy, while using one or more of the course concepts: stability, representation, or transfer of power. Students were expected to write an argumentative essay using each of the following skills: articulating a defensible claim/thesis; supporting the claim with two pieces of relevant evidence from a course country; using reasoning to explain why the evidence provided supported the thesis; and using refutation, concession, or rebuttal to respond to an alternative perspective. Specifically, students needed to demonstrate their understanding of multiparty, one-party, and dominant-party systems and their impact on political legitimacy, using the course concept(s) to establish the connection between the party systems and the concepts. Students needed to further demonstrate knowledge of the course countries by providing appropriate evidence from the countries that reflected the concept(s) the used in their thesis. Students also needed to be able to demonstrate their understanding of how the evidence they described was linked to the relationship between party systems and political legitimacy. Finally, students needed to demonstrate their understanding of alternate explanations by describing an alternate thesis, then justifying their concession, rebuttal, or refutation.

Sample: 4A Score: 5

Claim/Thesis Score: 1 Evidence Score: 2 Reasoning Score: 1 Alternative Perspectives Score: 1

(A) The response earned 1 point for a thesis that establishes a clear line of reasoning using a course concept by stating, "When compared to a one-party or a dominant party system, a multiparty system allows for the representation of a broader spectrum of people which helps sustain political legitimacy."

(B) The response earned 2 points for supporting the thesis with two pieces of evidence from one or more of the course countries related to the topic and course concepts. The response earned 1 point for stating, "Nigeria has a multiparty system ... This ensures that a good amount of the Nigerian population is represented when elections take place." The response earned 1 point by noting that in Mexico, "there are multiple parties with a significant prescence, more accurately representing the Mexican population."

(C) The response earned 1 point for using reasoning to explain the relationship between the evidence provided and the thesis by stating, "If Nigeria were to have a one-party or party dominant system, much of the Nigerian population may feel like they weren't being represented in their government, which would hurt the government's legitimacy."

© 2024 College Board. Visit College Board on the web: collegeboard.org. AP® Comparative Government and Politics 2024 Scoring Commentary

#### **Question 4 (continued)**

(D) The response earned 1 point for describing an alternate perspective and refuting, conceding, or rebutting that perspective by stating, "Although some may argue that one-party or dominant party systems have an easier transfer of power between leaders since power is being exchanged to the same party, it is simply not true. There is almost always a peaceful transition of power between leaders of differing parties in multiparty systems."

#### Sample: 4B Score: 3

Claim/Thesis Score: 1 Evidence Score: 1 Reasoning Score: 1 Alternative Perspectives Score: 0

(A) The response earned 1 point for a thesis that establishes a clear line of reasoning using a course concept by stating, "A multiparty system sustains political legitimacy better than a one-party or a dominant party by providing representation."

(B) This response earned 1 point for supporting the thesis with two pieces of evidence from one or more of the course countries related to the topic and course concepts. It earned 1 point by stating that the United Kingdom's electoral system "allows small/smaller parties to win local elections,... and it allows them to gain seats in Parliament or local districts." It did not earn a point for its discussion of gender quotas in Mexico, because it does not reference multi-party systems.

(C) The response earned 1 point for using reasoning to explain the relationship between the evidence provided and the claim or thesis by stating that in the United Kingdom, "This representation ... sustains the political legitimacy of the nation by giving everyone a voice."

(D) The response did not earn a point for describing an alternate perspective and refuting, conceding, or rebutting that perspective. Although the response provided an alternative perspective and rebuttal, it did not earn a point because it addressed political stability instead of political legitimacy, as specified by the prompt.

#### Sample: 4C Score: 1

Claim/Thesis Score: 1 Evidence Score: 0 Reasoning Score: 0 Alternative Perspectives Score: 0

(A) The response earned 1 point for a thesis that establishes a clear line of reasoning using a course concept by stating, "Multi-party systems are better than one-party systems at sustaining political legitimacy as they allow for a greater repersentation."

#### **Question 4 (continued)**

(B) The response did not earn 2 points for supporting the thesis with two pieces of evidence from one or more of the course countries related to the topic and course concepts. The response did not earn a point for discussing Russian history and militaristic power because this is not relevant to one of the course concepts provided. The response did not earn a point for discussing multiparty systems, human nature, or history in general terms because this is not relevant or specific to a course country, and political efficacy is not a course concept in the prompt.

(C) The response did not earn 1 point for using reasoning to explain the relationship between the evidence and the thesis because there is no clear reasoning established.

(D) The response did not earn a point for describing an alternate perspective and refuting, conceding, or rebutting that perspective. It stated, "Some might say single party systems are better as they simplify things and provide people with the answers." The response did not provide an alternate perspective to the thesis about sustaining legitimacy.