AP® English Language and Composition
Free-Response Questions
Set 2
Vertical farms are indoor agricultural facilities in which plants are grown, often in a hydroponic (soilless) environment, on tall stacks of shelves. Plants are given water, nutrients, and light mostly through automated processes. Advocates say that vertical farms are key to providing food for the future, yielding high-quality produce while making efficient use of land and water. Critics warn about the energy consumption associated with vertical farms’ automated processes as well as problems related to cost and nutritional value.

Carefully read the following six sources, including the introductory information for each source. Write an essay that synthesizes material from at least three of the sources and develops your position on the value, if any, of vertical farms to the future of agriculture.

- Source A (Severson article)
- Source B (Ling and Altland interview)
- Source C (table from Kozai and Niu)
- Source D (Foley article)
- Source E (Benke and Tomkins article)
- Source F (graphic from Despommier)

In your response you should do the following:
- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
- Select and use evidence from at least three of the provided sources to support your line of reasoning. Indicate clearly the sources used through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. Sources may be cited as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the description in parentheses.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.
[A] high-tech greenhouse so large it could cover 50 football fields glows with the pinks and yellows of 30,600 LED and high-pressure sodium lights.

Inside, without a teaspoon of soil, nearly 3 million pounds of beefsteak tomatoes grow on 45-feet-high vines whose roots are bathed in nutrient-enhanced rainwater. Other vines hold thousands of small, juicy snacking tomatoes with enough tang to impress Martha Stewart,1 who is on the board of AppHarvest, a start-up that harvested its first crop here in January and plans to open 11 more indoor farms in Appalachia by 2025.

In a much more industrial setting near the Hackensack River in Kearny, N.J., trays filled with sweet baby butterhead lettuce and sorrel that tastes of lemon and green apple are stacked high in a windowless warehouse—what is known as a vertical farm. Bowery, the largest vertical-farming company in the United States, manipulates light, humidity, temperature and other conditions to grow produce, bankrolled by investors like Justin Timberlake, Natalie Portman, and the chefs José Andrés and Tom Colicchio.

“Once I tasted the arugula, I was sold,” said Mr. Colicchio, who for years rolled his eyes at people who claimed to grow delicious hydroponic produce. “It was so spicy and so vibrant, it just blew me away.”

The two operations are part of a new generation of hydroponic farms that create precise growing conditions using technological advances like machine-learning algorithms, data analytics and proprietary software systems to coax customized flavors and textures from fruits and vegetables. And they can do it almost anywhere.

These farms arrive at a pivotal moment, as swaths of the country wither in the heat and drought of climate change, abetted in part by certain forms of agriculture. The demand for locally grown food has never been stronger, and the pandemic has shown many people that the food supply chain isn’t as resilient as they thought. . . .

“We’ve perfected mother nature indoors through that perfect combination of science and technology married with farming,” said Daniel Malechuk, the chief executive of Kalera, a company that sells whole lettuces, with the roots intact, in plastic clamshells for about the same price as other prewashed lettuce. In March, the company opened a 77,000-square-foot facility south of Atlanta that can produce more than 10 million heads of lettuce a year. . . .

Although the nutritional profile of hydroponic produce continues to improve, no one yet knows what kind of long-term health impact fruits and vegetables grown without soil will have. No matter how many nutrients indoor farmers put into the water, critics insist that indoor farms can never match the taste and nutritional value, or provide the environmental advantages, that come from the marriage of sun, a healthy soil microbiome and plant biology found on well-run organic farms.

“What will the health outcomes be in two generations?” Mr. Chapman [Dave Chapman, a Vermont farmer and the executive director of the Real Organic Project] asked. “It’s a huge live experiment, and we are the rats.”

1 businesswoman and television presenter whose work focuses on crafts, recipes, and home goods

The following is excerpted from an interview with Kai-Shu Ling, a research plant pathologist, and James Altland, a research horticulturalist. The interview is one of the “Under the Microscope” series of monthly interviews published online by the Agricultural Research Service [ARS] of the United States Department of Agriculture [USDA].

UM [Under the Microscope Interviewer]—What are the advantages of vertical farming?

KL [Kai-Shu Ling]: Vertical farming offers many benefits that traditional farming cannot. For example, while the crops produced by traditional farming are limited by geographic region and seasonal changes, vertical farming allows growers to grow regional or seasonal crops indoors year-round. They can grow crops anywhere a greenhouse or controlled environment can be established. As a result, consumers (especially those in urban areas typically far from traditional farmlands) can also have easier access to fresher produce.

We’re currently repurposing ship containers to become vertical farming research units. Although vertical farming’s high costs can often be discouraging, shipping containers and abandoned warehouses are readily available and relatively inexpensive. Converting them into vertical farming environments not only breathes life back into discarded infrastructure but also puts fresh produce in parking lots and urban centers.

JA [James Altland]: Vertical farming also uses much less land. For some crops, 10 to 20 times the yield can be obtained per acre in vertical farming compared to open-field crops. Other advantages are that vertical farms are in enclosed structures, so not subject to extreme or inclement weather. Vertical farms are being built in deserts, high-population urban areas, and other places that traditional open-field farming is not practical.

UM—What are the limitations to this type of farming? What is ARS doing to overcome these challenges?

JA: The major disadvantage is that you give up access to the Sun, which is [the] most abundant (and free) source of energy on Earth. Growing plants vertically in stacked systems often requires artificial light sources, which can become costly. Vertical farming also requires humidity control through expensive and energy-intensive heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. . . .

UM—What crops are best grown through vertical farming? Which crops are better suited for traditional farming?

JA: Currently, lettuce and other leafy greens are the most popular crops for vertical farming. While research is underway to grow all types of crops in vertical farms, the most successful ones today would be those that can be grown hydroponically, have relatively short compact growth forms, and can be harvested in their entirety. For example, lettuce can be harvested in its whole form, as opposed to corn where only the cob is harvested for sale and the rest must be disposed of some other way.

KL: We’re currently investigating the vertical farming potential of small fruits (e.g., strawberries) and fruiting vegetables (e.g., tomato, pepper). . . . Cereal and row crops (e.g., corn, rice, wheat and soybeans) are still better
suited for traditional farming. . . .

UM—I understand that vertical farming has launched into space. What are you hoping to accomplish with this effort?

JA: NASA is keenly interested in CEA [controlled environment agriculture] for its use on long-term manned space missions.

KL: Agreed. NASA is a pioneer in research on crop production under controlled environment. NASA continues to improve the technologies for growing vegetables and fruits in space for future Moon and Mars explorations. USDA has a long history of collaboration with NASA on controlled environment agriculture research.
The following is adapted from a table published in a book on vertical farming.

### Classification of Four Types of Plant Production Systems by Their Relative Stability and Controllability, and Other Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability and Controllability</th>
<th>Open Fields</th>
<th>Greenhouse: Soil Culture</th>
<th>Greenhouse: Hydroponics</th>
<th>Vertical Farms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural stability of aerial zone</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial controllability of aerial zone</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural stability of root zone</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial controllability of root zone</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability of yield and quality</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Relatively low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial investment per unit land area</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Relatively high</td>
<td>Extremely high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Relatively high</td>
<td>Extremely high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Aerial zone” refers to weather in the “Open Fields” category; “root zone” refers to soil environment.
The following is excerpted from an article published online by an environmental scientist and sustainability expert.

[T]here are costs to these [vertical] farms. **Huge** costs.

First, these systems are **really** expensive to build. The shipping container systems developed by [container farming technology company] Freight Farms, for example, cost between $82,000 and $85,000 *per container*—an astonishing sum for a box that just grows greens and herbs. Just one container costs as much as 10 entire acres of prime American farmland—which is a far better investment, both in terms of food production and future economic value. Just remember: farmland has the benefit of generally *appreciating* in value over time, whereas a big metal box is likely to only decrease in value.

Second, food produced this way is **very** expensive. For example, the *Wall Street Journal* reports that mini-lettuce grown by Green Line Growers cost more than *twice* as much as organic lettuce available in most stores. And this is typical for other indoor growers around the country: it’s very, very expensive, even compared to organic food. Instead of making food *more* available, especially to poorer families on limited budgets, these indoor crops are only available to the affluent. It might be fine for gourmet lettuce, or fancy greens for expensive restaurants, but regular folks may find it out of reach.

Finally, indoor farms use **a lot** of energy and materials to operate. The container farms from Freight Farms, for example, use about 80 kilowatt-hours of electricity a day to power the lights and pumps. That’s nearly 2–3 times as much electricity as a typical (and still very inefficient) American home, or about 8 times the electricity used by an average San Francisco apartment. And on the average American electrical grid, this translates to emitting 44,000 pounds of CO2 *per container per year*, from electricity alone, not counting any additional heating costs. This is *vastly* more than the emissions it would take to ship the food from someplace else.

And none of it is necessary.

**But, Wait, Can’t Indoor Farms Use Renewable Energy?**

Proponents of indoor techno-farms often say that they can offset the enormous sums of electricity they use, by powering them with renewable energy—especially solar panels—to make the whole thing carbon neutral.

But just stop and think about this for a second.

These indoor “farms” would use solar panels to harvest naturally occurring sunlight, and convert it into electricity, so that they can power . . . *artificial sunlight*? In other words, they’re *trying to use the sun to replace the sun*.

But we don’t need to replace the sun. Of all of the things we should worry about in agriculture, the availability of free sunlight is not one of them. Any system that seeks to replace the sun to grow food is probably a bad idea.
The following is excerpted from a research article in an online interdisciplinary journal that focuses on sustainability-related topics.

The vertical farming model was proposed with the aim of increasing the amount of agricultural land by ‘building upwards.’ In other words, the effective arable area for crops can be increased by constructing a high-rise building with many levels on the same footprint of land (Despommier 2010; The Economist 2010). One approach is to employ a single tall glasshouse design with many racks of crops stacked vertically. It is an extension of the greenhouse hydroponic farming model and addresses problems relating to the use of soils, such as the requirement for herbicides, pesticides, and fertilizers.

Clean, green, and gourmet (CGG) food

The possibility of CGG food production is easily the most attractive feature of the vertical farming model. This aspect is less price sensitive to affluent consumers in high-demand countries such as China. All-year-round crop production without seasonality, in a climate-controlled environment (including both temperature and humidity), will produce fresh produce virtually on demand. There would be no weather-related crop failures due to drought or flooding if hydroponic and aeroponic technologies are employed.

Using recycled water and nutrients in a closed, indoor, climate-controlled environment adds to food security and can reduce or even completely eliminate the need for pesticides and herbicides. Contamination by pathogens or heavy metals will no longer be an issue as occurs in rural farming. There is scope for marketing the product in this respect. Strict hygienic practices must still be observed to minimize the risk of introduction of pathogens and biological contamination into the growing space. However, in a vertical farming situation, one can closely monitor the crop for signs of pest or disease both manually and automatically using sensing technologies. This mode of cultivation is very well suited to adopting new and emerging robotic technologies as well as remote-sensing procedures. This means that outbreaks are detected early to enable diseased and infested plants to be identified and disposed of appropriately. Any residual contamination can be cleaned up when the crop is harvested using strict hygienic practices.

One possible obstacle to vertical farming is that some consumers may regard the products as ‘Frankenfoods,’ as discovered by managers of a giant underground farm supplying London’s restaurants (Curtis 2016) and another business that supplies between 8% and 12% of the British output of tomatoes, peppers, and cucumbers (Fletcher 2013). For this reason, some enterprises may not publicize growing conditions for fear of alienating consumers and destabilizing sales potential. To minimize this issue, it can be stressed that growing conditions are not different from existing hydroponic facilities with respect to germplasm, nutrition, and other cultural
and production practices. Furthermore, the plants are derived from natural breeding programs with normal
nutrients supplied. There is an advantage that plants are grown in a hygienic environment with reduced need for
pesticides and are in a closed system so there is no environmental pollution from nitrogen leaching or run-off.

1 suitable for growing crops
2 living plant tissue used to generate other plants
The following is adapted from a graphic published in a book about vertical farming.

**Source F**

80% of the total arable land on Earth is currently used for the production of food. That’s equivalent in size to the continent of South America.

An area the size of Brazil is required to feed another 2.7 billion people. This amount of arable land is simply not available.

Note: Arable land is land that is used or suitable for growing crops.
On May 21, 2016, the poet Rita Dove delivered a commencement address to graduating students at the University of Virginia at Charlottesville, where she was a professor of English at the time. Dove received a Pulitzer Prize for her poetry and served as the United States poet laureate from 1993 to 1995. She also writes in a variety of genres including fiction and drama. The following is an excerpt from her speech. Read the passage carefully. Write an essay that analyzes the rhetorical choices Dove makes to convey her message about what she wishes for her audience of graduating students.

In your response you should do the following:
- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that analyzes the writer’s rhetorical choices.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Demonstrate an understanding of the rhetorical situation.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

I am extremely delighted to be here today, at the very institution where I have been teaching for the past twenty-seven years. Although I have given commencement speeches before, this one is different; this is personal. The job of a commencement speaker—I googled it, so it must be true!—is to dispense “life advice.” That seems the very opposite of Percy Bysshe Shelley’s definition of the poet as “a nightingale who sits in darkness and sings to cheer its own solitude with sweet sounds.” So I will not give you advice. The last thing you want to hear is advice—because in order to be effective, advice must be specific—and that, obviously, is impossible in this setting. So instead of advice, I will give you wishes. Just think of me as a contrary fairy godmother or a wily genie.

I wish you Hunger. Of course, I don’t mean physiological want, but a continued spiritual and intellectual appetite, a hunger to know more, do more, feel more. When I told my graduate poetry writing class that I was giving this speech, I asked them what they wished they had heard at their baccalaureate exercises, and one young woman responded with a list of, as she put it, “some things . . . I wish I could have heard, if I’d had sense enough to listen.”

1. Life is short.
2. Don’t put yourself in a box.
3. There’s a reason certain people, places, books, ideas, etc. make our ears stand up; always follow what attracts you.
   And number 4, which to me is the kicker:
4. Passions are hard to come by.
   When you entered this university, you wanted to eat the world, and all everyone else wanted you to do was to get good grades. And though your dreams may have been more nebulous than they are now, they were no less intense. So keep that hunger; nurse it. Stay curious, want it all while it lasts.

I wish you Hard Work.
By that I don’t mean back-breaking labor, not the drudgery of the treadmill, but an appreciation for the work that comes before the big show—getting ready, honing your tools. Observation, research, practice—the actress Lupita Nyong’o gives herself homework whenever she has an audition. The classical flautist James Galway says: “You can sight-read better if you know your scales and arpeggios.” When my father sat me down for the “You’re-going-out-into-the-world” talk, his message was this: Always be 150% prepared! At 150% you’ll be ready for anything—even if you’re not chosen for a job or position although you’re the better qualified candidate. As the first African-American research chemist to break the color barrier in the tire and
rubber industry, my father knew how it felt to be passed over. What he was trying to tell me was: The last person to hold you accountable is you yourself. In most cases you won’t be asked for more than 75%; in fact, depending on your race and gender, you might not be expected to give more than 50% of your capacity. But only you will know if you’ve done your best, so focus on that rather than what others think your best is—because if you allow others to tell you your worth, you will have given up on yourself.

For me, a shy kid who trembled giving class presentations in high school, the 150% I had not ever expected to need came in handy when I received the phone call that I had been awarded the Pulitzer Prize and would have to hold my first press conference. Six years later, when I was named Poet Laureate of the United States, that 150% emboldened me to write a letter on this University’s letterhead to then President-elect Clinton, suggesting that the White House spotlight the arts during Arts and Humanities month; and in October of that year, 1993, as my husband and I rushed to Pennsylvania Avenue right after my inaugural poetry reading at the Library of Congress to join the White House Celebration and State Dinner in honor of the Arts and Humanities, I used every bit of that 150%!

I wish you Uncertainty.

There’s only so much knowledge that can be taught; hard facts are just that—solid, dense entities, the stones in a swiftly flowing stream of possibilities. You cannot wait for revelation to come down upon you in a cloud of gossamer and angelic sighs; more often than not you have to seek it out. Sometimes you don’t know where you’re going, but the only way you’ll find out is if you get going. That doesn’t mean that you rush off willy-nilly screaming, “I’m going to conquer this world”—but you do need to be bold enough to step outside of your comfort zone, even if it’s scary Out There.

1 Percy Bysshe Shelley (1792–1822) was one of the major English Romantic poets.
2 unclear, vague, or ill-defined
Question 3

Suggested time—40 minutes

(This question counts as one-third of the total essay section score.)

In a 2018 interview about the importance of collaboration, then United States Representative Carlos Curbelo stated: “If you’re trying to convince someone that they need to get involved in an issue or perhaps change their thinking on an issue, trying to scare them is not always effective and can actually sow resentment.”

Write an essay that argues your position on the extent to which Curbelo’s claim about persuading others is valid.

In your response you should do the following:

• Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible position.
• Provide evidence to support your line of reasoning.
• Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
• Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

Begin your response to this question at the top of a new page in the separate Free Response booklet and fill in the appropriate circle at the top of each page to indicate the question number.
Third-party trademarks AppHarvest®, Kalera®, Freight Farms®, and University of Virginia® were used in these testing materials.