2021



# **AP<sup>°</sup> Psychology**

## Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary Set 1

## Inside:

**Free Response Question 2** 

- **☑** Scoring Guideline
- ☑ Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

© 2021 College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of College Board. Visit College Board on the web: collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org.

#### **Question 2: Research Methodology**

- Answers must be cogent enough for the student's meaning to come through. Spelling and grammatical mistakes do not reduce a student's score, but spelling must be close enough so that the reader is convinced of the word.
- 2. A student can earn points only if the student clearly conveys what part of the question is being answered. It is possible to infer the part of the question being answered if it is consistent with the order of the question.
- 3. The response must apply the concept to the prompt; a definition alone will not earn the point.
- 4. Examples provided in the Scoring Guidelines for each of the points are not to be considered exhaustive.
- 5. Within a bulleted question part, a student will not be penalized for misinformation unless it *directly contradicts* correct information that would otherwise have earned a point. For example, if a response applies a concept in two contradictory ways (such as identifying both the measured variables as the independent variable or describing proactive interference as interference from both older and newer information), the point is not earned.
- 6. Within a bulleted question part, if the response addresses details from a scenario other than the one in the prompt, the point is not earned.
- Part A Mr. Gomez decides to conduct a study with his sixth-grade math class, after first obtaining informed consent. Half of his students happen to arrive early for class (group 1), so he uses the time to give them some extra problem-solving tips. The next week he compares their scores on a quiz with the scores of the students who arrived on time (group 2) and did not receive the tips. The students' grades are represented in the table below. Mr. Gomez comes to the conclusion that the problem-solving tips led to higher scores on the quiz. Mr. Gomez posts the table of grades on the door of his classroom to emphasize that the tips helped improve the students' grades.

Group 1	Grade on Quiz	Group 2	Grade on Quiz
Jaime	4	Lynda	3
Steven	5	Adam	4
Thomas	3	Sami	1
Elizabeth	3	Marlena	1
Marwa	7	Kiara	5
Frances	6	Caylin	4
Fekru	3	Darin	2
David	6	Chinami	4

#### Identify the dependent variable presented in the study.

The response must indicate score on the quiz as the dependent variable.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

• The dependent variable is the score the students earn on the quiz.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

- The dependent variable is the one that is measured.
- The dependent variable is the problem-solving tips.

#### Explain how the study could be modified to be an experiment.

1 point

1 point

1 point

The response must indicate that the study could be modified by adding random assignment to make it an experiment.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

- The study needs to have random assignment in each experimental condition in order for it to be an experiment.
- *Mr. Gomez would need to put people in groups randomly in order to make this an experiment.*

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

Responses that refer to the manipulation of a variable without discussion of random assignment.

Responses that refer to flaws in research design, such as sampling bias, or other confounding variables.

- Mr. Gomez manipulated his variable, which makes it an experiment.
- Mr. Gomez might avoid bias by randomly selecting people for his study.
- Mr. Gomez would have to make sure that both groups received instructions for the quiz at the same time.

#### Compare the mode of Group 1 to the mode of Group 2.

The response must indicate either that the mode of Group 1 is lower than the mode of Group 2 (or vice versa).

#### OR

The response must indicate that the mode of Group 1 is 3, and the mode of Group 2 is 4.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

- The mode of group 1 is lower than group 2.
- Group 1's mode is 3 and Group 2's mode is 4, so they are different.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

• The groups modes are irrelevant.

## Identify the measure of central tendency that needs to be calculated to determine the 1 point standard deviation.

The response must indicate that the mean is the measure of central tendency that is calculated to determine the standard deviation.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

- Mr. Gomez must calculate the mean in order to determine the standard deviation.
- The mean.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

Responses that refer to any other measures of central tendency besides the mean.

- *Mr. Gomez must calculate the mode to determine the standard deviation.*
- The median.

#### Explain the ethical flaw that is explicitly presented in the study.

1 point

The response must indicate that Mr. Gomez posted the students' grade (or did not maintain confidentiality/anonymity of the students' grades).

#### Acceptable explanations include:

• Mr. Gomez posted every kid's name with their score.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

• *Mr. Gomez did harm to his students in this study.* 

#### Explain how metacognition could apply to the scenario.

The response must indicate that an individual in the scenario thinks about their own thinking.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

Responses that refer to cognitive strategies that may be used to improve scores on their quizzes, awareness of their knowledge or understanding, monitoring progress of their learning, etc.

• Students in this study might keep track of how well they do, so they can study better for each test.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

Responses that refer to trying to do better, working harder, or listening better without discussion of the individual's thinking about their thinking.

Responses that refer to thinking about anything other than an individual's own thinking or the word "study" by itself without reference to some metacognitive process.

Responses that refer to anyone else's thinking about an individual's thinking.

- Students will need to work harder as they learn so they can do better.
- Students study more throughout the term.
- Students listen to what the teacher says about their thinking, which helps them do better.

- **Part B** Suppose Mr. Gomez had conducted this study as an experiment without any flaws and obtained the same results and that the results were statistically significant.
  - Explain how the findings depicted in the table above could support the theory of 1 point levels of processing.

The response must indicate either that Mr. Gomez used problem-solving tips that promoted deep processing and increased scores.

OR

The response must indicate that the group that did not receive the tips used shallow processing and scored worse.

#### Acceptable explanations include:

- Students who used the problem-solving strategies used deep processing and that helped them learn more in class.
- *Mr. Gomez's strategies worked well because they helped students process things more deeply.*
- Students who did not use the problem-solving strategies used shallow processing and learned less in class.

#### Unacceptable explanations include:

- Students who process things on multiple levels can learn better.
- Students who use their long-term memory do better than students who use their short-term memory.

Total for question 2 7 points

## Q2 Sample 2A 1 of 1

Question 1 Question 2

Begin your response t each qu stin t the top fa new page. D n t skip lines. -IThcicpendent ratiable is is to achts' igt a des ich it is guiz, Marked by how many ipoints like y igot icotreet.

is study i could ibe i modified to ibe ian i experiment by wring tandom iasrignment i o i divide ithe i group linto line experimentaliand i control latous. This icould present i confounding ifactors' interference in line istudy i the i best way.

-IGtoup 11's I model 151 31, which is iless ithan iGtoup 12's imodel which is 14. This means the most occuring iscore in iGroup ilwas 31 while the most occuring score for Group 2 was 14, 1

-The I measure loft central tendency ithat I should I be calculated actemine the Islandard I deriance is the Imean, lor lareraged 1 -1 An Ichnical I fil withat Ts' xplicity presented is Ithat there ist noi confirmati ty with i stuachtst scores. Mr. 160mez i posted i cach kid's I name land I score ion line door ifor everyone ito isee. -1 Metacognition is Ithinking I about the lway lyou I think, Ithel proton - sciving tips that I mr. 6 omer Igare the the the scients helped lithem 1 think about the Iway i mat they though the about the laure problems, I Icading ithemitoi get generally inigher iscores ion the iquiz, i -1 Theigroup Hhafi goti fips, Igroup 11, 1 thought more i deepigi about the Imeaning I floichiems, homen I emantically, sol they lunderstood the questions better. 1The lpicblem - Isoling 1 tips I could thare I led them tiot created algoritms land iscirci problems with a imore i effective i way, i Group 21 with ing tips i thought 1 less 1 semanneaily 1 and 1 more 1 superficially, inst 1 processing the questions last seen, lutimating generally lourer scores.

Page 4

## Q2 Sample 2B 1 of 2

<b>Question</b> 1	<b>Question 2</b>
0 h	• ۲

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. The dependent is dependent is an able his the effect of the listeray, the libring they're boxing In this tray, it livralla be the student's is grades in on the quizin

Conviary to an <u>ilexperiment</u>, <u>in this is study</u> upoesn't have any rando assignment. So in order to ma this study experiment, the students in each group needs ito be completely random. It could even be in determined by is coin if i.p. in

The <u>mode</u> is is it the most is frequently happearing in number n a histody or is graph. This is means the is mode for gravp if is is 3, is while is the is model group 2 is it.

The is measure is of is central is tendency is is he is you is elithe liaverage for is is study or experiment. is The is most infections method to use would libe the mean on could frectively calculate Standard deviations,

There is is is one use <u>ethical</u> <u>Flaw</u> hin hithis hi exp hi Study hi and hithat hi would be hananimity. The tacher veleased hall hithe hi tudent's names, even thoughhit was hi un ethica.

Me a cogition Cours apply & cause Swentshi ay coperione or may e Smarter hithan high her histwarts. The hiteacher's Hips hi May even interfere, hich hickates hinets cognition. Page 4

## Q2 Sample 2B 2 of 2

#### uestion 1 Question 2

0

Begin your response to each question t the top of a new page. Do not skip lines. These findings could support the theory of 18-2015 of processing because group, on are e, recieved higher scores than group 2. This shows that the tips could Prie the students and Create a higher level of pro SSID and thinking than group 2. Page 5

### Q2 Sample 2C 1 of 2

#### Question 1 Question 2

 $\bigcirc$ 

Begin your response to each question t the top of a new page. Do not sk p lines. Mr. Gomez's study with his sixtu-grade math classor s done to see which group, and I or 2, will do better on the quiz. Group I received the hep by obtaining when - solving tips, while group 2 did not receive any tips. The dependent variable of this study are the groups, group I and group 2. This study could be modified into an experiment by first creating a hypothesis . The creating a hypothesis . Evere evene the TWO groups could be formed, roup and 2. Group I will receive extra robber solving tips before taking the quiz, and Group will not receive any help and will take the quiz right away. After the results come out, the y potnesis would be either be correct or incorrect. Group had TOTAL STARS of a mode of 3, while Enoup 2 a mode of 4. Seemingly, Group 2 had O higher mode than Enoup. T measure of central tendency reads to be caculated with consistency to determine the landard deviation. Explicitly, the ethical flaw coming from this study presents the different intelligence levels of ach student. Not only does the Intelligence level factor n, not all students are able to come a early to rive that extra help. with this, ton's the problem points an ethical flaw. met a cognition could apply to the scenario by creating a consistent flow time that will ensure students

Q2 Sample 2C 2 of 2

#### Question 1 Question 2

0

Begin your response to each question at the top of a new pag . Do not skip lines. equal time and work. Mr. Gomez conducted this study as an experimen without any flaws, the findings could support the theory of levels of processing. It could do so by because the tatistics show that students are able process information if given the entra help. It this e students was received higher scores when getting extract help. Although this is the ase, this study had many Edic ethica flaws manipulating the scores of the students. Page 5

### **Question 2**

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain spelling and grammatical errors.

#### Overview

The responses to this question were expected to demonstrate an understanding of critical components of quantitative analysis and interpretation, as well as analysis of psychological research with respect to experimental design (i.e., random assignment and types of variables), basic statistical tools, and how specific psychological terminology applies to a scenario in which an experiment's methodology and results are presented. Specifically, responses needed to address a sixth-grade math teacher's in-class study, in which he provided problem-solving tips to one group of students but did not provide them to another group of students. The responses needed to identify the dependent variable in the study, explain how random assignment would make the study a true experiment, compare the modes of the two groups in the study, identify the measure of central tendency needed to calculate the standard deviation, explain how metacognition could apply to the scenario, and explain how the study's results could support the theory of levels of processing.

#### Sample: 2A Score: 7

The response earned point 1 because the response indicates that the dependent variable is the quiz grade. The response earned point 2 because it indicates that there is a need for random assignment. The response earned point 3 because the response indicates the correct modes of both Group 1 and Group 2, and it also correctly identifies which group has the lower mode. The response earned point 4 because the response indicates that the mean is the measure of central tendency that is necessary for calculating the standard deviation. The response earned point 5 because it indicates that the violation of confidentiality/anonymity is an explicitly presented ethical flaw. The response earned point 6 because the response indicates that the students are thinking about their own thinking processes. The response earned point 7 because the response indicates that Group 1 is engaging in deep processing and doing so improves their understanding of the material.

#### Sample: 2B Score: 5

The response earned point 1 because the response indicates that the dependent variable is the quiz grade. The response earned point 2 because it indicates that there is a need for random assignment. The response earned point 3 because the response indicates the correct modes of both Group 1 and Group 2. The response earned point 4 because it indicates that the mean is the measure of central tendency that is necessary for calculating the standard deviation. The response earned point 5 because the response indicates that the violation of confidentiality/anonymity is the explicitly presented ethical flaw. The response did not earn point 6 because the response does not indicate that an individual in the scenario is thinking about their own thinking. The response did not earn point 7 because the response does not indicate that Group 1 is engaging in deep processing or that Group 2 is engaging in shallow processing, and the response does not indicate the corresponding effects on the quiz scores. Furthermore, "higher" processing is not equivalent to "deep" processing.

#### **Question 2 (continued)**

#### Sample: 2C Score: 1

The response did not earn point 1 because the response does not indicate that the dependent variable is the quiz grade. The response did not earn point 2 because the response does not indicate that there is a need for random assignment. The response earned point 3 because it indicates the correct modes of both Group 1 and Group 2 and correctly identifies which group has the higher mode. The response did not earn point 4 because the response does not indicate the mean as the necessary measure of central tendency for calculating the standard deviation. The response did not earn point 5 because the response does not indicate that the violation of confidentiality/anonymity is the explicitly presented ethical flaw. The response did not earn point 6 because it does not indicate that an individual in the scenario is thinking about their own thinking. The response did not earn point 7 because the response does not indicate that Group 1 is engaging in deep processing or that Group 2 is engaging in shallow processing, and the response does not indicate the corresponding effects on the quiz scores.