AP English Literature and Composition

Scoring Guidelines

Prose Fiction Analysis - Johnson

Question 1: Prose Fiction Analysis

6 points

The following excerpt is from James Weldon Johnson's novel *The Autobiography of an Ex-Colored Man*, published in 1912. In this passage, the narrator reflects on his youthful infatuation with an older girl from his church. Read the passage carefully. Then, in a well-written essay, analyze how Johnson uses literary elements and techniques to convey the narrator's complex response to having a crush.

In your response you should do the following:

- Respond to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation.
- Select and use evidence to support your line of reasoning.
- Explain how the evidence supports your line of reasoning.
- Use appropriate grammar and punctuation in communicating your argument.

AP English Literature and Composition 2020 Scoring Guidelines

	Scoring Criteria		
Row A Thesis (0-1 points)	 O points For any of the following: There is no defensible thesis. The intended thesis only restates the prompt. The intended thesis provides a summary of the issue with no apparent or coherent claim. There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt. 	T point Responds to the prompt with a thesis that presents a defensible interpretation of the passage. les and Scoring Notes	
	Responses that do not earn this point: Only restate the prompt. Make a generalized comment about the passage that doesn't respond to the prompt. Describe the passage or features of the passage rather than making a claim that requires a defense.	Responses that earn this point: Provide a defensible interpretation of the narrator's complex response to having a crush.	
	Examples that do not earn this point: Restate the prompt "The author uses compelling literary devices to reflect on his youthful infatuation with an older girl from his church." Do not respond to the prompt but make a generalized comment "The author reveals that crushes can be powerful and overwhelming." Describe the passage or features of the passage "The narrator uses imagery and dialogue to portray his youthful crush on an older girl."	 Examples that earn this point: Provide a defensible interpretation "The author uses rich visual imagery to contrast the power of his private feelings for an older girl with the external vulnerability his feelings cause him in the face of other characters in the story." "The author juxtaposes diction with positive and negative connotations to contrast his internal feelings of rapturous adoration with his dread and shame to convey how consumed he is by this crush." 	
	 Additional Notes: The thesis may be more than one sentence, provided the sentences are in close proximity. The thesis may be anywhere within the response. For a thesis to be defensible, the passage must include at least minimal evidence that <i>could</i> be used to support that thesis; however, the student need not cite that evidence to earn the thesis point. The thesis <i>may</i> establish a line of reasoning that structures the essay, but it needn't do so to earn the thesis point. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response successfully supports that line of reasoning. 		

AP English Literature and Composition 2020 Scoring Guidelines

points mply restates thesis (if resent), repeats provided formation, or offers formation irrelevant to be prompt.	1 point EVIDENCE: Provides evidence that is mostly general. AND COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the student's argument.	2 points EVIDENCE: Provides some specific, relevant evidence. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	3 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how at least one literary element or technique in the passage contributes to its meaning.	4 points EVIDENCE: Provides specific evidence to support all claims in a line of reasoning. AND COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how multiple literary elements or techniques in the passage contribute to its meaning.			
	COMMENTARY: Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the	COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	COMMENTARY: Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how at least one literary element or technique in the passage contributes to its	COMMENTARY: Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how multiple literary elements or techniques in the			
	Summarizes the evidence but does not explain how the evidence supports the	Explains how some of the evidence relates to the student's argument, but no line of reasoning is established, or the line of reasoning is faulty.	Explains how some of the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how at least one literary element or technique in the passage contributes to its	Consistently explains how the evidence supports a line of reasoning. AND Explains how multiple literary elements or techniques in the			
		Desirion Bules and Contine N	element or technique in the passage contributes to its	elements or techniques in the			
		Desision Bules and Consine N					
		Decision Rules and Scoring Notes					
prical responses that earn points: Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	Typical responses that earn point: Tend to focus on overarching narrative developments or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques. Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation.	Typical responses that earn points: Consist of a mix of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established.	Typical responses that earn 3 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an interpretation. Organize an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim.	Typical responses that earn 4 points: Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific words and details from the passage to build an interpretation. Organize and support an argument as a line of reasoning composed of multiple supporting claims, each with adequate evidence that is clearly explained. Explain how the writer's use of multiple literary techniques contributes to the student's interpretation of the passage.			
dd	Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant.	Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant. Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation. Iitional Notes: Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical er	 Tend to focus on overarching narrative developments or description of a passage rather than specific details or techniques. Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. 	 Are incoherent or do not address the prompt. May be just opinion with no textual references or references that are irrelevant. Mention literary elements, devices, or techniques with little or no explanation. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims or do not adequately support more than one claim. Do not explain the connections or progression between the student's claims, so a line of reasoning is not clearly established. Uniformly offer evidence to support claims. Focus on the importance of specific evidence and broad generalities. May contain some simplistic, inaccurate, or repetitive explanations that don't strengthen the argument. May make one point well but either do not make multiple supporting claims. Commentary may fail to integrate some evidence or fail to support a key claim. 			

AP English Literature and Composition 2020 Scoring Guidelines

	Scoring Criteria			
Row C Sophistication (0-1 points)	O points Does not meet the criteria for one point. Decision Rul	1 point Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or develops a complex literary argument. es and Scoring Notes		
	 Responses that do not earn this point: Attempt to contextualize their interpretation, but such attempts consist predominantly of sweeping generalizations ("Human experiences always include" OR "In a world where" OR "Since the beginning of time"). Only hint at or suggest other possible interpretations ("While another reader may see" OR "Though the passage could be said to"). Make a single statement about how an interpretation of the passage comments on something thematic without consistently maintaining that thematic interpretation. Oversimplify complexities in the passage. Use complicated or complex sentences or language that is ineffective because it does not enhance the argument. 	Responses that earn this point may demonstrate a sophistication of thought or develop a complex literary argument by doing any of the following: 1. Identifying and exploring complexities or tensions within the passage. 2. Illuminating the student's interpretation by situating it within a broader context. 3. Accounting for alternative interpretations of the passage. 4. Employing a style that is consistently vivid and persuasive.		
	Additional Notes: • This point should be awarded only if the sophistication of thought or complex understanding is part of the student's argument, not merely a phrase or reference.			