AP History Rubrics

AP History Modified DBQ Rubric (10 points) 2020 Exam Administration

	2020 Exam Administration				
	Reporting Category		Scoring Criteria		Decision Rules
criteria in 2020	A. THESIS/CLAIM (0-1 pt)		1 pt. Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning.		
	B. CONTEXTUALIZATION (0–1 pt)		1 pt. Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.		To earn this point, the response must relate the topic of the prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or reference.
	C. EVIDENCE (0-5 pts)		1 pt. AND 1 pt. Uses the Supports an		To earn one point, the response must accurately describe—rather than simply quote—the content from at least two of the documents.
For 2020 only, this intermediate point will be awarded for students who can support an argument with fewer documents than in a typical exam year.		content of at least two documents to address the topic of the prompt.	argument in response to the prompt using two documents.	To earn two points, the response must accurately describe—rather than simply quote—the content from at least two documents. In addition, the response must use the content of the documents to support an argument in response to the prompt.	
		AND 1 pt. Supports an argument in response to the prompt using at least four documents		To earn three points, the response must accurately describe—rather than simply quote—the content from at least four documents. In addition, the response must use the content of the documents to suppor an argument in response to the prompt.	
		Evidence Beyond the Documents		To earn this point, the response must describe the evidence and must use more than a phrase or reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.	
			1 pt. Uses at least one additional piece of the specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.		
For 2020 only, this point will be awarded for students who use a second piece of outside evidence in their response.			AND 1 pt. Describes a second piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.		

Reporting Category

Scoring Criteria

Decision Rules

D. ANALYSIS AND REASONING (0-3 pts)

1 pt.

For **one** document, explains how or why the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.

To earn this point, the response must explain how or why (rather than simply identifying) the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, or audience is relevant to an argument about the prompt for each of the documents sourced.

AND 1 pt.

For a **second** document, explains how or why the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.

For 2020 only, one point will be awarded for students who provide sourcing for ONE document relevant to an argument (rather than for three of seven documents as is required in a typical exam year), and a second point will be awarded for providing sourcing for a second document.

1 pt.

Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.

A response may demonstrate a complex understanding in a variety of ways, such as:

- Explaining nuance of an issue by analyzing multiple variables
- Explaining both similarity and difference, or explaining both continuity and change, or explaining multiple causes, or explaining both cause and effect
- Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods
- Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes
- Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence

This understanding must be part of the argument, not merely a phrase or reference.